## Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission State of Minnesota

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Gas Service in Minnesota

> Docket No. G002/GR-23-413 Exhibit\_\_\_(BCH-1)

2024 Test Year Overall Revenue Requirements Rate Base Income Statement

Rate Rider Recovery 2024

November 1, 2023

# **Table of Contents**

I.	Int	roduction	1
II.	Cas	se Overview	5
	Α.	Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements and Deficiencies	5
	В.	Case Drivers	9
III.	Sup	oporting Information	15
	Α.	Data Provided and Selection of the Test Year	15
		1. Overview	16
		2. Test Year Forecast	17
		3. Supporting Information and the 2024 Projected Test Year	18
	В.	Jurisdictional Cost of Service Study	20
IV.	Rat	te Base	23
	Α.	Net Utility Plant	26
	В.	Construction Work In Progress	27
	C.	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	28
	D.	Other Rate Base	29
V.	Inc	come Statement	34
	Α.	Revenues	35
	В.	Operating and Maintenance Expenses	36
	C.	Depreciation Expense	40
	D.	Taxes	41
	E.	AFUDC	43
VI.	Uti	lity and Jurisdictional Allocations	43
VII.	An	nual Adjustments to the Test Year	46
	Α.	Precedential Adjustments	48
	В.	Rate Case Adjustments	50
		1. Bad Debt	50
		2. Black Dog Pipeline	51

		3.	Gas Depreciation Study TD&G	51
		4.	Participant Compensation	53
		5.	Incentive Compensation	53
		6.	New Area Surcharge	54
		7.	New Business Contributions in Aid of Construction	55
		8.	Property Tax Adjustment	55
	C.	Amo	ortizations	56
		9.	Rate Case Expense	56
	D.	Ride	er Removals	57
		10.	GUIC Rider	58
	E.	Seco	ondary Cost of Service Calculations	60
		11.	ADIT Pro-Rate – IRS Required	60
		12.	Cash Working Capital	61
		13.	Change in Cost of Capital	61
		14.	Net Operating Loss	63
VIII.	Cos	sts Re	covered in Riders and Tracker	63
	A.	Ride	ers	63
		1.	GUIC Rider	65
		2.	CIP Rider	67
		3.	Purchased Gas Adjustment	68
	В.	True	e-Ups and Trackers	68
		1.	Property Tax True-Up	69
		2.	Credit Card Fee Tracker	72
		3.	Participant Compensation Tracker	73
		4.	MGP Expense Tracker	78
IX.	Cor	mpliar	nce with Prior Commission Orders	81
	A.	Reli	ef and Recovery Docket Tracking	81
	В.	Ince	entive Compensation Refunds	84
	C.	Rec	urring Compliance Reporting Requirements	85

85
85
86
Schedule 1
Schedule 2
Schedule 3
Schedule 4
Schedule 5
Schedule 6
Schedule 7
Schedule 8
Schedule 9
Schedule 10
Schedule 11
Schedule 12
Schedule 13

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND TITLE.
4	Α.	My name is Benjamin C. Halama. I am Manager of Revenue Analysis for Xce
5		Energy Services Inc. (XES or the Service Company), the service company for
6		Xcel Energy, Inc. and its operating company subsidiaries.
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.
9	Α.	I have over eight years of experience at XES, supporting Northern States
10		Power Company - Minnesota (NSPM or the Company) in the areas of
11		regulatory accounting, financial operations, and revenue requirements. In my
12		current role, I am responsible for the development of jurisdictional revenue
13		requirements for all NSPM jurisdictions. My resume is attached as
14		Exhibit(BCH-1), Schedule 1, Resume.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
17	Α.	In my Direct Testimony, I support the Company's Minnesota jurisdiction gas
18		operations cost of service, revenue requirements, and revenue deficiency for
19		the 2024 test year. Overall, the net deficiency and retail revenue requirement
20		for the test year are summarized in Table 1 below.

1		Table 1		
2		2024 Revenue Reque		
3		Minnesota Jurisdictional (\$s Test Year	2024	
4		Net Deficiency	\$59.03	
5		Average % increase	9.6%	
6				
7	I provide th	ne financial data supporting this over	erall revenue def	ficiency for the
8	State of M	Einnesota retail gas jurisdiction, in	cluding a descr	ription of cost
9	changes, th	e data we provide, and our selecti	on of the test y	vear. Further, I
10	present:			
11	• our jurisdictional cost of service study and the revenue requiremen			ie requirement
12	effects of our utility and jurisdictional allocations; and			
13	• our revenue requirement, including rate base and income statemen		ome statement	
14	comp	conents with related adjustments and	d amortizations.	
15				
16	My testimo	ony also supports the 2024 req	uested interim	rate increases
17	discussed in	the Company's Notice and Petition	n for Interim Rat	tes. The Notice
18	and Petitio	n for Interim Rates and accomp	panying schedul	les and tariffs
19	included in	Volume 1 of our Application provid	le additional sup	port.
20				
21	In addition,	, I explain our treatment of riders a	and identify certa	ain compliance
22	requiremen	ts addressed in our general rate filing	· .	
23				
24	I relied on	information provided by other w	ritnesses in this	proceeding to
25	develop ma	any of the test year revenue require	ment adjustmen	ts discussed in
26	my Direct T	l'estimony.		

1 O. How is the rest of your Direct Testimony organiz
---

2 A. I present my testimony in the following sections:

- Section II, Case Overview, summarizes our jurisdictional revenue requirement for the 2024 test year, and discusses the key drivers of cost increases compared to the 2022 test year established in Docket No. G002/GR-21-678.
  - Section III, *Supporting Information*, provides information related to the data provided in our application, the selection of the test year, and the jurisdictional cost of service study.
  - Section IV, Rate Base, identifies and explains the components of rate base, and supports the reasonableness of the Company's projected 2024 test year.
  - Section V, *Income Statement*, identifies and explains the major components of the income statement and supports the reasonableness of the Company's proposed 2024 test year.
  - Section VI, *Utility and Jurisdictional Allocations*, explains why it is necessary for the Company to allocate costs among its affiliates and between jurisdictions, and describes the utility and jurisdictional allocators that are used in determining the test year revenue requirement.
  - Section VII, Annual Adjustments to the Test Year, presents adjustments affecting the 2024 test year revenue requirements, providing both rate base and income statement impacts.
  - Section VIII, Costs Recovered in Riders and Trackers, presents our proposed treatment of costs recovered in riders during the test year period, and discusses the Company's proposals in this proceeding to continue or implement certain trackers.

1		• Section IX, Compliance with Prior Commission Orders, provides information
2		related to specific requirements from prior Minnesota Public Utilities
3		Commission (Commission) Orders that have not been addressed
4		elsewhere in my testimony.
5		• Section X, Conclusion, summarizes our request.
6		
7	Q.	Are all of the dollar values presented in your testimony
8		JURISDICTIONALIZED TO STATE OF MINNESOTA GAS JURISDICTION?
9	Α.	While most of the dollar values presented in my testimony are
10		jurisdictionalized to State of Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction, there are some
11		instances where dollars are Total Company. Dollar values that are Total
12		Company are labeled accordingly. Exhibit(BCH-1), Schedule 5, Labeling
13		of Financial Sources, provides additional information on the labeling of
14		financial information in our rate case Direct Testimony and Schedules.
15		
16	Q.	DO YOU PROVIDE INFORMATION IN COMPLIANCE WITH PAST COMMISSION
17		Orders and Company commitments?
18	Α.	Yes. Throughout my testimony I note where I am providing information
19		related to prior Commission Orders and Company commitments. In Section
20		IX, I provide additional information related to compliance with prior
21		Commission Orders that have not been addressed elsewhere in my testimony.
22		

1		II. CASE OVERVIEW
2		
3	Q.	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
4	Α.	In this section, I will:
5		• present the jurisdictional revenue requirement and revenue deficiencies
6		for Minnesota for the 2024 test year;
7		• present a summary comparison of the costs in the test year to the costs
8		approved in our last rate case; and
9		• provide an explanation of the primary sources of the changes in overall
10		costs, including plant-related costs and operations and maintenance
11		(O&M) costs.
12		
13		A. Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements and Deficiencies
14	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BASIS OF THE COMPANY'S TEST YEAR PROPOSAL.
15	Α.	The Company utilizes 2024 as the test year developed using budgeted capital
16		additions and budgeted O&M expenses. Also included in the proposal are
17		impacts to other rate base items, sales adjustments, and other adjustments
18		impacting the revenue requirements for the test year, so that the test year
19		represents a cost of service approach to rate-setting for both capital and
20		O&M.
21		
22	Q.	What is the 2024 test year jurisdictional overall revenue
23		REQUIREMENT AND REVENUE DEFICIENCY?
24	Α.	The overall jurisdictional revenue requirement for the 2024 test year is \$676.83
25		million. The 2024 test year revenue deficiency, excluding rider roll-ins, is
26		\$59.03 million. This 2024 test year revenue deficiency amount represents a 9.6
27		percent overall increase in retail revenues from base rates compared to

3		Exhibit(BCH-1), Schedule 2, Summary of Revenue Requirements. The
4		calculation of these dollar amounts is provided in Exhibit(BCH-1),
5		Schedule 3, Cost of Service Study Summary.
6		
7	Q.	What is the amount of the interim rate revenue deficiency in 2024?
8	A.	The Interim Rate Petition (Petition) supports an interim revenue deficiency
9		based on the 2024 test year of \$51.2 million, which results in a proposed
10		interim rate increase of 8.5 percent beginning January 1, 2024.
11		
12	Q.	HOW DOES THE COMPANY CALCULATE THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND
13		REVENUE DEFICIENCY?
14	Α.	The general formula for calculation of the revenue requirement and revenue

deficiency is depicted below in Table 2 as follows:

projected 2024 retail revenues at present rates. A summary of the 2024

revenue deficiency (in dollars and as a percent) is provided in

1

2

1		Tabl Revenue Requirement a		)eficiency
2		Revenue Requirement a	na Revenue I	reflectively
3			2024	Exhibit
4		Item	Test Year	(BCH-1),
5			Amount	Sch. 3
			(\$000s)	Reference
6		Average Rate Base	\$1,267,863	Page 1, Line 43
7	multiplied by	Cost of capital	7.48%	Page 1, Line 20
8		Operating Income Requirement	\$94,836	Page 3, Line 145
9		Current Retail Revenue	\$617,806	Page 1, Line 46 + Line 47
	plus	Current Other Revenue	\$4,230	Page 1, Line 48
10	equals	Current Total Revenue	\$622,037	Page 1, Line 49
11	minus	Operating Expenses	\$468,744	Page 2, Line 61
4.0	minus	Depreciation Expense	\$73,521	Page 2, Line 63
12	minus	Amortization Expense	\$926	Page 2, Line 64
13	minus	Taxes	\$28,747	Page 3, Line 122
	plus	AFUDC	\$2,677	Page 3, Line 127 + Line 128
14	equals	Total Available for	\$52,776	Page 3, Line 130
15		Return		
16 17		Operating Income Requirement	\$94,836	Page 3, Line 145
	minus	Total Available for Return	\$52,776	Page 3, Line 146
18	equals	Income Deficiency	\$42,060	Page 3, Line 147
19	multiplied by	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.403351	Page 3, Line 149
20	equals	Revenue Deficiency	\$59,026	Page 3, Line 150
21	_ plus	Current Retail Revenue	\$617,806	Page 3, Line 153
22				
23	equals	Total Revenue Requirement	\$676,832	Page 3, Line 155
24				

1	Q.	Has the Company provided an explanation of the assumptions and
2		APPROACHES USED IN DEVELOPING THE TEST YEAR OPERATING INCOME?
3	Α.	Yes. An explanation is provided in the Financial Information section of
4		Volume 3 (Required Information) of this Application. In addition, workpapers
5		supporting the 2024 test year cost of service are provided in Volume 4 of this
6		Application.
7		
8	Q.	How does the Company treat capital and O&M costs in the 2024
9		TEST YEAR?
10	Α.	Our proposal uses the following reasoning to develop costs:
11		1. Capital, capital-related, and O&M costs follow the Company's budget,
12		except as needed to comply with prior Commission Orders or
13		adjustments the Company is specifically proposing in this proceeding.
14		(Capital-related costs consist of depreciation and allowance for funds
15		used during construction (AFUDC) as well as the cost of capital).
16		2.Expenses that have jurisdiction-specific regulatory accounting treatment
17		follow that treatment. For example:
18		a. Expenses related to the Company's non-qualified pension
19		costs have regulatory adjustments based on the outcome of
20		the Company's recent rate cases.
21		3. Secondary calculations necessary for a full cost of service study are based
22		on the results of the above items.
23		a. Cash Working Capital balance related to the revenues and
24		expenses developed above.
25		b. Change in debt interest expense related to the budgeted
26		change in debt costs and the budget of rate base.
27		

#### B. Case Drivers

- Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED A COMPARISON OF THE COSTS IN THE TEST YEAR FORECAST TO CURRENT RATES RESULTING FROM THE 2022 TEST YEAR?
- 4 Yes. I provide an explanation of the detailed case drivers of the deficiency 5 using a comparison of the 2024 test year (including rider roll-ins) with the base 6 rates in effect in 2022 as a result of Docket No. G002/GR-21-678 (including 7 rider roll-ins). My analysis differs from the Direct Testimony analyses of the 8 Company's business area witnesses, who primarily discuss costs and cost 9 changes in terms of actual costs and budgets (not revenue deficiencies). 10 Therefore, my discussion of key cost drivers reflects dollar values that are, in 11 large part, different from their discussions. In addition, I discuss these drivers 12 at a high level, and defer to the business area witnesses to provide more detail 13 around the activities and changes giving rise to these drivers.

14

- Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED A SCHEDULE IDENTIFYING THE CHANGES IN THE MAJOR
   COST ELEMENTS SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE?
- A. Yes. I provide Exhibit\_\_\_(BCH-1), Schedule 6, Detailed Case Drivers, which provides a Summary of Major Cost Drivers (identification of case drivers for the test year forecast), including details of the categories identified in Table 3 below.

1 2	Table 3 Test Year Net Incremental Deficiency (\$ in millions)*		
3		Increase (Decrease) 2024 TY to 2022 TY	
5	Capital and Capital Related Amortizations	\$54.4 1.2	
6	Taxes Operating Expense	8.2 8.7	
7	Other Margin Impacts Total Net Incremental Deficiency	(13.5) <b>\$59.0</b>	
8 9	*Differences between components of deficiency and total	ul due to rounding.	

In addition to the discussion in this section, support for our proposed increase in rates for the 2024 test year is provided in the Direct Testimonies of the Company's business area witnesses.

- Q. Please describe the revenue requirement impact for the principal
   Changes in Capital and Capital Related Costs.
- A. Table 4 below compares the 2024 test year revenue requirements with the comparable revenue requirements for the 2022 test year, by category, for capital plant related costs as shown on Schedule 6, Detailed Case Drivers.

1	Table 4		
2	Capital and Capital Related Cost C	hanges (\$ in millions)	
3		Increase	
4		(Decrease) 2024 TY to 2022 TY	
5	Distribution	\$25.3	
6	Gas Production and Storage	9.0	
	Intangible	6.5	
7	General	4.4	
8	Transmission	1.1	
	Other Rate Base	2.2	
9	Cost of Capital	6.0	
10	TOTAL Capital and Capital Related	\$54.4	

Q. Please identify the principal changes in Distribution and Gas
 Production and Storage capital costs.

A. The test year forecast revenue requirements include a \$25.3 million increase to Distribution and a \$9.0 million increase to Gas Production and Storage as compared to the 2022 test year. A portion of this increase is due to the transfer of capital investments previously recovered through the Gas Utility Infrastructure Cost (GUIC) rider offset by rider revenues, as well as additional investment in new customer connections, meter programs, and the safety and reliability of our distribution and plant infrastructure that is not recoverable through the GUIC. Additional information regarding distribution and gas production and storage projects are provided in the Direct Testimony of Company witness Alicia E. Berger.

- 1 Q. What are the principal changes in General & Intangible capital 2 costs?
- A. The test year forecast revenue requirements include a \$10.9 million increase to
  General & Intangible as compared to the 2022 test year. This increase is due
  to capital investments relating to replacing meter communication modules for
  our gas business, replacing aging information technology (IT), and enhancing
  the safety and reliability of our transportation fleet and operations centers.
  Additional information regarding general and intangible projects is discussed
  in the Direct Testimony of Company witnesses Berger, Christopher R.

10

12 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PRINCIPAL CHANGES IN COST OF CAPITAL.

Haworth, and Michael O. Remington.

13 Α. The test year forecast revenue requirements include a \$6.0 million increase 14 related to changes in cost of capital, compared to the overall cost of capital 15 approved in the Company's last gas rate case. The change in cost of capital is 16 due to a requested 10.2 percent return on equity (ROE) and an increase in the 17 cost of debt. However, the Company's interim rate request reflects the 9.57 18 percent ROE. Company witness Paul A. Johnson describes the capital 19 structure and costs of debt in his Direct Testimony. Company witness Joshua 20 C. Nowak of Concentric Energy Advisors discusses the ROE.

- 22 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PRINCIPAL CHANGES IN TAXES.
- A. The test year forecast revenue requirements include an increase in current and deferred income tax of \$6.9 million, but sets property taxes at \$0.7 million, which is the 2022 actual property tax, subject to continuation of the property tax true-up as I discuss later in my Direct Testimony. The Company projects 2024 property taxes to increase \$4.7 million as compared to the 2022 test year.

- 1 The increases in current and deferred income taxes and test year property
- 2 taxes are largely due to the increase in rate base and total revenue requirement.
- 3 Additional information regarding property taxes is discussed in the Direct
- 4 Testimony of Company witness William T. Kowalowski.

- 6 Q. Please describe the principal changes in O&M costs.
- A. Table 5 below compares the 2024 test year forecast revenue requirements with the comparable revenue requirements for the 2022 test year, by category, for operating expenses as shown on Schedule 6, Detailed Case Drivers.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Table 5	
O&M Cost Change	es (\$ in millions)
	Increase
	(Decrease)
	2024 TY to
	2022 TY
Gas Production and Storage	\$2.3
Transmission	(1.8)
Distribution	1.2
A&G	7.0
TOTAL O&M	\$8.7

1718

- Q. What are the reasons for the increase in Gas Production and
   Storage operating expense?
- A. The test year forecast revenue requirements include a \$2.3 million increase in expenses related to increases in the cost of labor supporting our gas peaking plants. Additional information regarding gas production and storage operating expenses are discussed in the Direct Testimony of Company witness Berger.

1	Q.	WHAT ARE THE REASONS FOR THE INCREASE IN DISTRIBUTION OPERATING
2		EXPENSE?
3	Α.	The test year forecast revenue requirements include a \$1.2 million increase in
4		Distribution operating expenses. This increase is due to an increase in the cost
5		of labor, due mainly to bargaining unit contract increases, increases in the
6		number and cost of Damage Prevention (Gopher One Call) locate work, and
7		increases in materials costs, primarily due to inflation. Additional information
8		regarding Distribution O&M is discussed in the Direct Testimony of
9		Company witness Berger.
10		
11	Q.	What are the reasons for the increase in Administrative and
12		GENERAL (A&G) OPERATING EXPENSE?
13	Α.	The test year forecast revenue requirements include a \$7.0 million increase in
14		A&G operating expenses. This increase is due to increased investments in
15		Technology Services and Enterprise Security related to the Company's
16		continued investments in the customer experience, and the associated
17		software maintenance and licensing cost increases necessary to support new
18		applications and maintain existing applications to limit cyber security threats.
19		Additional information regarding Technology Services O&M is discussed in
20		the Direct Testimony of Company witness Remington.
21		
22	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW CHANGES IN SALES RELATE TO THE RATE INCREASE.
23	Α.	As discussed by Company witness John M. Goodenough, sales are forecasted
24		to increase somewhat for the 2024 test year due to expected increases in both

the overall number of customers and total natural gas throughput during the

2024 test year. Consequently, the Company's retail revenues have increased by

\$0.3 million since the 2022 test year, decreasing the 2024 revenue deficiency.

25

26

3	Α.	Yes. Budget amounts for both periods conform to the Federal Energy				
4		Regulatory Commission (FERC) Uniform System of Accounts. To better				
5		show cost drivers, especially as they relate to operating margins, some				
6		reclassifications are made in the cost driver analysis from the jurisdictional				
7		cost of service study.				
8						
9	Q.	DID YOU INCLUDE COMPARISONS OF THE CHANGE IN PURCHASED GAS				
10		EXPENSE AS PART OF THE O&M EXPENSE ANALYSIS?				
11	Α.	No. Although the cost of fuel is considered an operating expense, recovery				
12		occurs through the Company's separate purchased gas adjustment (PGA)				
13		mechanism and true-up process.				
14						
15		III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION				
16						
17	Q.	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?				
18	Α.	In this section, I provide information related to data provided in our				
19		application, the selection of the test year, and the jurisdictional cost of service				
20		study.				
21						
22		A. Data Provided and Selection of the Test Year				
23	Q.	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?				
24	Α.	In this section, I will:				
25		• identify the supporting financial information and related fiscal periods				
26		that we are providing in connection with the 2024 test year forecast;				
27		and				

Q. ARE THE FUNCTIONAL CLASS CATEGORIES OF OPERATING EXPENSE

COMPARABLE BETWEEN THE 2024 TEST YEAR AND THE 2022 TEST YEAR?

1

1		• demonstrate that the supporting financial information and related fiscal
2		periods that we are presenting provide appropriate information and
3		facilitate review of our test year forecast.
4		
5		1. Overview
6	Q.	Please define the fiscal periods for which financial data is
7		PROVIDED IN THIS PROCEEDING.
8	Α.	Following the Commission's rules, financial data is provided for 2022 (the
9		most recent fiscal year), 2023 (the projected fiscal year), and 2024 (the test
10		year). In addition, we provide financial data to support the test year forecast.
11		The most recent fiscal year (calendar year 2022) reflects the Company's actual
12		financial results. For the projected fiscal year 2023, actual financial results
13		through June 2023 are provided as rate base data, operating expenses, and
14		revenues. Forecast projections are provided for the remainder of 2023. The
15		test year forecast reflects the Company's most recent available budget data.
16		
17		All fiscal periods provided in this testimony are adjusted for traditional
18		regulatory adjustments (e.g., charitable donations, etc.).
19		
20		I also provide schedules showing: the actual unadjusted average rate base
21		consisting of the same rate base components; unadjusted operating income;
22		overall rate of return; the calculation of required income; and the income
23		deficiency and revenue requirements for the most recent fiscal year (2022), the
24		projected fiscal year (2023), and the test year (2024).
25		

1		2. Test Year Forecast
2	Q.	WHAT WAS THE BASE SOURCE FOR THE PROPOSED TEST YEAR FORECAST
3		COSTS?
4	Α.	Calendar year 2024 was selected as the test year for this filing using Xcel
5		Energy's most recent available budget data for the first year of the budget
6		cycle. Use of a fully projected calendar test year (2024) is consistent with
7		longstanding practice and precedent in the Company's rate cases before the
8		Commission.
9		
10		The 2024 Budget is supported in Direct Testimony by Company witness
11		Haworth, who discusses the budgeting process and provides capital and O&M
12		budget analyses, Company witness Allison M. Johnson, who provides
13		information on capital investments and depreciation, and various other
14		Company witness who support the 2024 test year capital investments and
15		O&M by business area. Additional information supporting the 2024 Budget is
16		provided in Volume 5 (Budget Documentation) of the Application.
17		
18	Q.	DOES THE COMPANY ANTICIPATE UPDATING SOME OF ITS INFORMATION IN
19		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
20	Α.	Yes. Consistent with prior cases, we will update certain costs to incorporate
21		updated information. More specifically, we will review the following and
22		update in this case as appropriate.
23		• Cost of capital to reflect the most currently available data;
24		• Current customer count and sales information and expected trends that
25		might indicate that adjustments to the sales and customer count
26		forecasts are needed;

1		<ul> <li>Assumptions used for calculating Qualified Pension, FAS 106 retiree</li> </ul>			
2		medical, FAS 112 post-employment benefits and long-term disability			
3		expense based on information as of December 31, 2023;			
4		• The impacts of updating the Base Cost of Gas in Docket No.			
5		G002/MR-23-412;			
6		<ul> <li>Anticipated bad debt expense for the 2024 test year; and</li> </ul>			
7		• The impacts of the final 2023 property tax true-up.			
8					
9		3. Supporting Information and the 2024 Projected Test Year			
10	Q.	Why does the Company use 2022 as its most recent fiscal year			
11		INSTEAD OF 2023?			
12	Α.	Minn. R. 7825.3100, Subp. 10 provides the following definition:			
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Most recent fiscal year" is the <i>utility's prior fiscal year [here 2022] unless</i> notice of a change in rates is filed with the commission within the last three months of the current fiscal year and at least nine months of historical data is available for presentation of current fiscal year financial information, in which case the most recent fiscal year is deemed to be the current fiscal year [here 2023]. (Emphasis added.)			
20		In this proceeding, the Company's most recent fiscal year is 2022, and its			
21		current fiscal year is 2023. The Company's "most recent fiscal year" is also			
22	2022, as the two exceptions to the rule that would instead convert 2023 into				
23	the most recent fiscal year are not fulfilled here. While the Company is filing				
24		this rate case within the last three months of 2023, nine months of actual 2023			
25		data is "not available for presentation." Since that requirement cannot be met,			
26		the plain language of the Rule directs the Company to use 2022 as the most			
27		recent fiscal year, consistent with the Company's long-standing approach.			
28					

1	The Rule does not require the Company to delay its filing until additional 2023
2	data becomes available or to accelerate the availability of the actual data to
3	include nine months of actual data with the filing. Rather, Minn. R. 7825.3100
1	Subp. 10 requires the Company to treat 2022 as the prior fiscal year and Minn
5	R. 7825.3100, Subp. 12 requires that we treat 2023 as the projected fiscal year.

Q. IS THIS APPROACH ALSO CONSISTENT WITH THE COMPANY'S PAST PRACTICES

THAT HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE COMMISSION?

9 Yes. In our electric rate case in Docket E002/GR-12-961, the Administrative 10 Law Judge (ALJ) found that the Company's practice was consistent with its 11 filings in past rate cases and was in compliance with Commission rules. 12 Therefore, the ALJ supported, and the Commission adopted, the Company's 13 use of a fully projected test year. Most recently, we utilized actual 2020 data as 14 the "most recent fiscal year" data in Docket No. G002/GR-21-678, as 2021 15 actual data was not available for presentation at the time of that filing. There 16 was no issue with that approach in that case.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ALJ Report Findings 866-873 in Docket No. E002/GR-12-961 (July 3, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In one prior rate case before the Commission, the Commission issued a rule variance to permit a utility to utilize the last full calendar year as the "most recent fiscal year" for a rate case filed in the last two months of 2017. In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Authority to Increase Rates for Natural Gas Service in Minnesota, ORDER ACCEPTING FILING, SUSPENDING RATES, EXTENDING TIMELINE, AND VARYING RULE, Docket No. G011/GR-15-736 (Dec. 5, 2017). We do not believe a variance is necessary here, just as it has not been necessary in prior NSPM rate cases, because utilizing 2022 data is consistent with the Minnesota Rule under the circumstances of this filing. But if the Commission determines that a variance is necessary, the Company requests a variance under Minn. R. 7829.3200, because (i) the Company began preparing this rate case filing several months before the requisite data was available for 2023, and it would be an excessive burden on the utility to wait to file the case or refile the case when 2023 data is available (and would not align with a calendar year test year); (ii) granting the variance would not adversely affect the public interest, because NSPM has used this approach in the past with the same extensive data, and it has resulted in just and reasonable rates; and (iii) granting the variance would not conflict with standards imposed by law.

- 1 Q. Does the Company's practice result in less information being 2 included in the filing?
- 3 A. No. The Company filed information for 2022 (the most recent fiscal year),
- 4 2023 (the projected year), the unadjusted 2024 year, and the adjusted 2024 test
- 5 year. Definitions and financial schedules related to 2022 actual and 2023
- 6 projections are included in the following locations:
- Volume 3, Required Information, Section II, Tabs 2-5.
- Exhibit (BCH-1), Schedule 7, Comparison of Detailed Rate Base
   Components.
- Exhibit\_\_\_(BCH-1), Schedule 8, Comparison of Detailed Income
   Statement Components.

13

## B. Jurisdictional Cost of Service Study

- 14 Q. WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 15 A. In this section, I will explain the jurisdictional cost of service studies that we
- prepared for the test year forecast.

- Q. Please describe the components of the jurisdictional cost of service study for the test year forecast.
- 20 A. A summary of the jurisdictional cost of service study for the test year forecast
- 21 is provided in Schedule 2, Summary of Revenue Requirements. The complete
- 22 jurisdictional cost of service study for the test year forecast is provided in
- Schedules 3, Cost of Service Study Summary, and in Volume 4 (Test Year
- Workpapers) of this filing and includes all the adjustments discussed in my
- 25 Direct Testimony.

1		The jurisdictional cost of service study includes the following financial data
2		input sections for the Minnesota Jurisdiction: (i) capital structure; (ii) cost of
3		capital; (iii) income tax rates; (iv) rate base; (v) income statement; (vi) income
4		tax calculations; and (vii) cash working capital.
5		
6	Q.	Please describe the jurisdictional cost of service summary
7		SCHEDULES.
8	Α.	The jurisdictional cost of service summary for the test year forecast is included
9		as Schedule 3, Cost of Service Study Summary:
10		• The Rate Base Summary for the Minnesota jurisdiction gas operations
11		is shown on Page 1. It provides the assumed capital structure, including
12		the overall rate of return on rate base and the ROE. The Rate Base
13		Summary references a calculation of cash working capital, which is
14		detailed in Exhibit(BCH-1), Schedule 4 (Cash Working Capital),
15		and Volume 4, Section P10, Cash Working Capital.
16		• An Income Statement for the Minnesota jurisdiction gas operations is
17		shown on Page 2 and Page 3. The income statement shows the
18		determination of total operating income at present authorized retail
19		rates. The Income Statement references calculations for federal and
20		state income taxes, which are detailed on Page 3.
21		• The Revenue Requirement and Return Summary for the Minnesota
22		jurisdiction gas operations is shown on Page 3. It shows the revenue
23		deficiency that needs to be recovered to enable the Minnesota
24		jurisdiction gas operations to earn the requested rate of ROE and the
25		total revenue requirements.

1	Q.	Are the revenue conversion factor calculation and the Minnesota
2		COMPOSITE INCOME TAX RATES INCLUDED IN THIS FILING?
3	Α.	Yes. The gross revenue conversion factor calculation is included in Volume 3,
4		Section II, Tab 7 of the Other Supplemental Information; and the composite
5		income tax rates are included in Volume 3, Section II, Tab 4C, Schedule C-5,
6		of the Operating Income Schedules.
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE INTEREST DEDUCTION FOR DETERMINING
9		TAXABLE INCOME IS CALCULATED.
10	Α.	The amount of interest deducted for income tax purposes is the weighted cost
11		of debt capital multiplied by the average rate base. This is sometimes called
12		"interest synchronization." The calculation for the interest synchronization in
13		the test year is provided in Schedule 3, Cost of Service Summary, Line 97.
14		
15	Q.	WHICH SCHEDULES TO YOUR TESTIMONY ARE RELATED TO RATE BASE?
16	Α.	I have provided three schedules related to rate base: Schedule 7, Comparison
17		of Detailed Rate Base Components; Exhibit(BCH-1), Schedule 10, 2024
18		Test Year Rate Base Adjustment Schedule; and Exhibit(BCH-1), Schedule
19		9, Rate Base, CWIP and ADIT Summary. I discuss these schedules in Section
20		IV, Rate Base, and Section VII, Annual Adjustments to the test year.
21		Additional comparative rate base schedules are provided in Volume 3,
22		Required Information.
23		
24	Q.	WHICH SCHEDULES TO YOUR TESTIMONY ARE RELATED TO THE INCOME
25		STATEMENT?
26	Α.	I have provided two schedules related to the income statement: Schedule 8,
27		Comparison of Detailed Income Statement Components, and

1		Exhibit(BCH-1), Schedule 11, 2024 Income Statement Adjustment
2		Schedule. I discuss these schedules in Section V, Income Statement and
3		Section VII, Annual Adjustments to the Test Year. Additional comparative
4		income statement schedules are provided in Volume 3, Required Information.
5		
6		IV. RATE BASE
7		
8	Q.	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU ADDRESS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
9	Α.	In this section of my testimony, I support the reasonableness of the
10		Company's projected 2024 test year rate base and identify and explain how the
11		components of the rate base were determined. I begin by providing the overall
12		rate base calculation and identify its components, then walk through each of
13		the test year forecast components of rate base in turn.
14		
15	Q.	Is the Company's projected 2024 test year rate base reasonable for
16		PURPOSES OF DETERMINING FINAL RATES IN THIS PROCEEDING?
17	Α.	Yes. The projected 2024 test year rate base for the Company's Minnesota
18		jurisdiction gas operations was developed on sound ratemaking principles in a
19		manner similar to prior Company gas rate cases.
20		
21	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT RATE BASE REPRESENTS.
22	Α.	Rate base primarily reflects the capital expenditures made by a utility to secure
23		plant, equipment, materials, supplies, working capital, and other assets
24		necessary for the provision of utility service, reduced by amounts recovered
25		from depreciation and non-investor sources of capital.
26		

1	Q.	Please idi	ENTIFY THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF THE PROJECTED 2024 TEST	
2		YEAR RATE	BASE.	
3	Α.	The test ye	ear rate base is generally comprised of the following major items,	
4		which I late	er describe in detail:	
5		• Net	Utility Plant;	
6		• Cons	struction Work in Progress;	
7		• Accu	imulated Deferred Income Taxes; and	
8		• Other Rate Base.		
9				
10	Q.	How does	THE COMPANY CALCULATE RATE BASE?	
11	Α.	The Compa	any's rate base can be expressed as follows:	
12			Original Average Cost of Plant in Service (Plant)	
13		Less:	Average Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (Reserve)	
14		Less:	Average Accumulated Provision for Deferred Taxes	
15			(net of accts 281-283 and 190) (ADIT)	
16		Plus:	Average Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)	
17		Plus:	Average Working Capital (Work Cap)	
18		Equals:	Rate Base	
19				
20		In this case	, the calculation is as follows, using the average of the beginning of	
21		year (BOY)	and end of year (EOY) balances for the test year:	

1	Plant	\$2,187,742	(per BCH-1, Schedule 3, Page 1, Line 23)
2	Reserve	(785,327)	(per BCH-1, Schedule 3, Page 1, Line 24)
3	ADIT	(214,540)	(per BCH-1, Schedule 3, Page 1, Line 31)
4	CWIP	34,124	(per BCH-1, Schedule 3, Page 1, Line 26)
5	Other Rate Base	45,864	(per BCH-1, Schedule 3, Page 1, Line 41)
6	Rate Base	\$1,267,863	(thousands of dollars)

Q. Please describe the schedules in your exhibit that are related to
 The test year average investment in rate base.

A. Schedule 7, Comparison of Detailed Rate Base Components, provides a detailed statement of the rate base components. Page 1 provides a comparison of the rate base components for the 2024 test year, to the 2022 test year established in Docket No. G002/GR-21-678.

Exhibit\_\_\_\_(BCH-1), Schedule 9, Rate Base, CWIP, and ADIT Summary, Page 1, shows a detailed average rate base by component for the 2024 test year for the Minnesota jurisdiction and Total Company, before and after making proposed test period adjustments. Page 2 shows the test year average Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) for the Minnesota jurisdiction and Total Company, before and after making proposed test period adjustments. Page 3 shows the test year accumulated deferred income taxes (ADIT) for the Minnesota jurisdiction and Total Company, before and after making proposed test period adjustments.

Schedule 10, 2024 Test Year Rate Base Adjustment Schedule, is a bridge schedule showing the 2024 unadjusted rate base, each proposed rate base adjustment, and the resulting proposed 2024 test year rate base.

## A. Net Utility Plant

- 2 Q. WHAT DOES NET UTILITY PLANT REPRESENT?
- 3 A. Net utility plant represents the Company's investment in plant and equipment
- 4 that is used and useful in providing retail gas service to its customers, net of
- 5 accumulated depreciation and amortization.

6

1

- 7 Q. Please explain the method used to calculate net utility plant
- 8 INVESTMENT IN THIS CASE.
- 9 A. The net utility plant is included in rate base at depreciated original cost
- 10 reflecting the simple average of projected net plant balances at the beginning
- and end of the 2024 test year. Such treatment is consistent with the method
- employed in the most recent Minnesota gas rate case.

13

- 14 Q. What historical base did the Company use as a starting point to
- DEVELOP THE PROJECTED NET PLANT BALANCES FOR THE BEGINNING OF THE
- 16 2024 TEST YEAR?
- 17 A. The historical base used for the beginning of the 2024 test year was the
- 18 Company's actual net investment (Plant in Service less Accumulated
- Depreciation) on the Company's books and records as of June 30, 2023 plus
- 20 the forecast for the remaining months of 2023.

- Q. ON WHAT BASIS WERE NET PLANT BALANCES PROJECTED FOR THE END OF THE
- 23 2024 TEST YEAR?
- 24 A. The 2024 test year ending net plant balances were determined by applying the
- data contained in the 2024 capital budget to the above-described beginning
- balances, adjusted for retirements, depreciation, salvage, and removal costs
- 27 projected to occur during the 2024 test year.

1 Q. What was the average net utility plant included in the 2024 test 2 YEAR RATE BASE? 3 The average net utility plant included in the 2024 test year rate base is \$1.4 4 billion, as shown on Schedule 7, Comparison of Detailed Rate Base 5 Components. This is comprised of an average plant balance of \$2.2 billion as 6 detailed on Schedule 7, minus an average depreciation reserve of \$0.8 billion, 7 also shown by component on Schedule 7. 8 9 В. **Construction Work In Progress** 10 WHAT IS CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS (CWIP)? 11 In Minnesota, CWIP is included as part of the revenue requirement 12 calculation for base rates. CWIP is the accumulation of construction costs that 13 directly relate to putting a fixed asset into use. 14 15 HAS CWIP BEEN INCLUDED IN THE 2024 TEST YEAR RATE BASE? Q. 16 Yes. CWIP is included in rate base with a corresponding offset of AFUDC Α. 17 added to operating income. The rate base amount reflects a simple average of 18 projected CWIP beginning and ending 2024 test year balances. This is 19 consistent with the method employed in Minnesota and approved by the 20 Commission in the Company's last rate case and matches the use of an 21 average rate base. The CWIP and AFUDC determinations for rate base are 22 discussed in the Direct Testimony of Company witness A. Johnson.

- 24 HOW WERE THE 2024 TEST YEAR BEGINNING AND ENDING CWIP BALANCES Q.
- 25 DETERMINED?
- 26 The beginning balance for CWIP was the June 30, 2023 historical balance. The Α. 27 beginning CWIP balance was adjusted to reflect projected construction

1		expenditures, AFUDC, and transfers to Plant in Service during the remainder
2		of 2023 and in 2024 to obtain the beginning and ending 2024 test year CWIP
3		balance. These projections were developed from the Company's 2024 capital
4		budget.
5		
6		C. Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes
7	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES (ADIT).
8	Α.	Inter-period differences exist between the book and taxable income treatment
9		of certain accounting transactions. These differences typically originate in one
10		period and reverse in one or more subsequent periods. For utilities, the largest
11		such timing difference typically is the extent to which accelerated income tax
12		depreciation generally exceeds book depreciation during the early years of an
13		asset's service life. ADIT represents the cumulative net deferred tax amounts
14		that have been allowed and recovered in rates in previous periods.
15		
16	Q.	WHY IS ADIT DEDUCTED IN ARRIVING AT TOTAL RATE BASE?
17	Α.	To the extent income taxes recovered in rates are deferred for later payment,
18		they represent a prepayment by customers, a non-investor source of funds.
19		The average projected ADIT balance is deducted in arriving at total rate base
20		to recognize such funds are available for corporate use between the time they
21		are collected in rates and ultimately remitted to the respective taxing
22		authorities.
23		
24	Q.	What amount of ADIT was deducted to arrive at the 2024 test year
25		RATE BASE?
26	Α.	As shown on Schedule 7, Comparison of Detailed Rate Base Components,
27		\$214.5 million was deducted. This amount reflects a simple average of the

1	projected beginning and ending 2024 test year ADIT balances and
2	incorporates Internal Revenue Service (IRS) tax regulations. Specifically, Sec.
3	1.167(l) of the tax code defines a pro-rated schedule for the extent average
4	accumulated deferred income taxes can be used to reduce rate base to comply
5	with the tax normalization requirements of the Code when forecast
6	information is used to set rates. This is consistent with the method employed
7	in Minnesota and approved by the Commission in the Company's most recent
8	rate cases. Details related to ADIT are provided in Schedule 9, Rate Base,
9	CWIP, and ADIT Summary, on Page 3.

- 11 Q. How did the Federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) affect the
- 12 PROPOSED TEST YEAR ADIT IN RATE BASE?
- 13 A. The Commission's Order in Docket No. E,G999/CI-17-895 directed the
- 14 Company's amortizations of excess ADIT, which are included in the amounts
- shown on Schedule 7, Comparison of Detailed Rate Base Components.
- Support for the excess ADIT can be found in Volume 4, Section III Rate Base
- 17 (Plant), Tab P2-3.

18

19

#### D. Other Rate Base

- 20 Q. Please summarize the items you have included in Other Rate Base.
- 21 A. Other Rate Base is comprised primarily of Working Capital. It also includes
- 22 certain unamortized balances that are the result of specific ratemaking
- amortizations, as discussed below in my testimony.

- 25 Q. Please explain what Working Capital Represents.
- 26 A. Working Capital is the average investment in excess of net utility plant
- 27 provided by investors that is required to provide day-to-day utility service. It

2		various non-plant assets and liabilities. The net cash requirement (referred to
3		as Cash Working Capital) is shown separately.
4		
5	Q.	How were 2024 test year materials and supplies requirements
6		CALCULATED?
7	Α.	The Materials and Supplies amounts shown on Schedule 3, Cost of Service
8		Study Summary, Page 1, are based on the thirteen-month average balances
9		ending June 30, 2023, the most recent data available. The Materials and
10		Supplies average balance are included on Schedule 3, Cost of Service Study
11		Summary, Page 1, Line 34.
12		
13	Q.	How were 2024 test year Gas-in-Storage revenue requirements
14		DETERMINED?
15	Α.	The Gas-In-Storage amount shown on Schedule 3, Cost of Service Study
16		Summary, Page 1, is developed based on the thirteen-month average balances
17		ending June 30, 2023, the most recent data available. The 2024 Test Year rate
18		base amount for Gas-In-Storage are included on Schedule 3, Cost of Service
19		Study Summary, Page 1, Line 35.
20		
21	Q.	How were 2024 test year Non-Plant Assets and Liabilities
22		DETERMINED?
23	Α.	These balances as shown on Schedule 3, Page 1, Cost of Service Study
24		Summary, represent 2024 test year estimates of these balances. Any book/tax
25		timing differences associated with these items have been reflected in the
26		determination of current and deferred income tax provision and ADIT
27		balances previously discussed. The Non-Plant Assets and Liabilities average

includes items such as materials and supplies, fuel inventory, prepayments, and

1		balance are included on Schedule 3, Cost of Service Study Summary, Page 1,
2		Line 36.
3		
4	Q.	Are there any Non-Plant Assets or Liabilities for which the
5		COMPANY IS NOT REQUESTING RECOVERY IN BASE RATES?
6	Α.	Yes. In Docket No. G999/CI-21-135, the Commission approved amortization
7		and recovery of gas costs associated with Winter Storm Uri over 63 months,
8		with no carrying charge. The Company is carrying these costs over this period
9		without seeking to recover a carrying charge, which presents a significant
10		impact to the Company.
11		
12	Q.	How were 2024 test year Prepayments and Other Working Capital
13		ITEMS DETERMINED?
14	Α.	Prepayments and Other Working Capital, such as customer advances and
15		deposits, are based on the actual 13-month average balances during the period
16		ended June 30, 2023, as a proxy for the 2024 test year. The Prepayments and
17		Other Working Capital average balances are included on Schedule 3, Cost of
18		Service Study Summary, Page 1, Lines 37-40.
19		
20	Q.	How were the test year Cash Working Capital requirements
21		DETERMINED?
22	Α.	Cash Working Capital requirements have been determined by applying the
23		results of a comprehensive lead/lag study to the projected test year revenues
24		and expenses.
25		

- 1 Q. WERE THE COMPONENTS OF THE TEST YEAR CASH WORKING CAPITAL 2 CALCULATED CONSISTENT WITH METHODS USED IN THE LAST RATE CASE? 3 Yes. The test year cash working capital has been calculated consistent with 4 methods accepted in our most recent Minnesota gas rate case. 5 PLEASE BRIEFLY EXPLAIN HOW A LEAD/LAG STUDY MEASURES CASH WORKING 6 Q. 7 CAPITAL. 8 A lead/lag study is a detailed analysis of the time periods involved in the 9 utility's receipt and disbursement of funds. The study measures the difference 10 in days between the date services to a customer are rendered and the revenues 11 for that service are received, and the date the costs of rendering the services 12 are incurred until the related disbursements are actually made. 13 14 HAS XCEL ENERGY'S LEAD/LAG STUDY BEEN UPDATED SINCE THE LAST GAS Q. 15 RATE CASE? 16 Yes. The Company has updated the lead/lag study for the calculation of the Α. 17 lead and lag days for all categories through year end 2022, using the 18 methodology for calculating the lead/lag days consistent with the Company's 19 prior electric and gas regulatory filings. The results of the updated lead/lag 20 study for gas operations were incorporated into the Minnesota jurisdiction 21 cash working capital calculations as shown on Schedule 4, Cash Working 22 Capital, Page 1. 23
- 24 Q. What are the test year cash working capital amounts?
- A. The \$10.0 million included as a reduction in average rate base in the test year is based on the results of our lead/lag study prepared consistently with previous rate cases.

- 1 Q. HAS THERE BEEN A CHANGE IN THE TEST-YEAR CASH WORKING CAPITAL
- 2 AMOUNT SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE?
- 3 A. Yes. The 2024 test year Cash Working Capital balance of \$10.0 million
- 4 represents a \$3.6 million decrease compared to the 2022 test year. This
- 5 decrease has the effect of a slightly smaller reduction in rate base, as
- 6 compared to the impact of the Cash Working Capital balance in the 2022 test
- 7 year.

- 9 Q. WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE CHANGE IN CASH WORKING CAPITAL?
- 10 A. The change in Cash Working Capital from the 2022 level is primarily due to
- 11 the net changes in the average expense lead and revenue lag days between the
- two periods. Average revenue lag days increased to 40 in 2024 from 38 in
- 13 2022, meaning the Company's revenues are being collected on average two
- days slower in 2024 than in 2022. The Company's average expense lead days
- decreased to 47 in 2024 from 48 in 2022, meaning that the Company's cash
- outlay for paying expenses decreased by an average of one day. Overall, cash
- inflows from revenue collections exceed the longer time frame for disbursing
- cash, giving rise to a negative cash working capital balance to be included in
- 19 rate base.

- 21 Q. WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF NEGATIVE CASH WORKING CAPITAL?
- 22 A. A negative cash working capital indicates that overall revenue collections occur
- sooner than the date when the associated costs of service are paid. In other
- words, on average, more cash requirements are being provided by customers
- and vendors. The negative cash working capital reduces rate base to
- 26 compensate customers for funds provided to meet cash working capital
- 27 requirements. It should be noted that changes in the revenues or expenses

1		could cause the cash working capital calculation to be changed. The Company
2		will update the 2024 test year cost of service study accordingly.
3		
4		V. INCOME STATEMENT
5		
6	Q.	WHAT TOPICS WILL YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
7	A.	In this section, I will support the reasonableness of the Company's proposed
8		test year income statement. I begin by providing the overall income statement
9		calculations and identify their components, then walk through each of the test
10		year components of the income statement in turn.
11		
12	Q.	Is the Company's proposed test year income statement reasonable
13		FOR DETERMINING FINAL RATES IN THIS PROCEEDING?
14	Α.	Yes. The proposed test year income statement for the Company's Minnesota
15		jurisdiction gas operations were developed on sound ratemaking principles in
16		a manner similar to prior Company gas rate cases.
17		
18	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF THE PROJECTED INCOME
19		STATEMENTS.
20	A.	The following are the major components of the test year forecast income
21		statements:
22		• Revenues;
23		Operating and Maintenance Expenses;
24		Depreciation Expense;
25		• Taxes; and
26		• AFUDC.

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SCHEDULES TO YOUR TESTIMONY THAT ARE RELATED
2		TO THE INCOME STATEMENT.
3	Α.	Schedule 11, 2024 Income Statement Adjustment Schedule, is a bridge
4		schedule that shows the unadjusted income statement, each proposed income
5		statement adjustment, and the resulting proposed income statement for the
6		test year. Schedule 11 also includes the revenue deficiency amount for each
7		item included in this schedule.
8		
9		Schedule 8, Comparison of Detailed Income Statement Components,
10		provides a detailed statement of the income statement components. Page 1
11		provides a comparison of income statement components for the Company's
12		last rate case filing to the 2024 test year assuming final rates.
13		
14		A. Revenues
15	Q.	How does the Company present its projected sales for the test
16		YEAR?
17	Α.	The test year sales volumes are supported by Company witness Goodenough,
18		who discusses the bases for the Company's sales forecasts, including the use
19		of normal weather to develop the Company's projected test year sales.
20		
21	Q.	Do retail operating revenues reflect the projected level of
22		UNBILLED SALES VOLUMES IN THE TEST YEAR?
23	Α.	Yes. As Company witness Goodenough explains, the projected level of
24		unbilled sales is incorporated into the retail sales forecast on a calendar-month
25		basis. This eliminates the need to reconcile billing-month sales to calendar-
26		month sales by recording unbilled revenues

2		RETAIL REVENUE REQUIREMENT?
3	Α.	Yes. The test year includes items such as revenues from limited firm standby
4		gas customers, late payment fees, service activation fees, reconnection fees and
5		others.
6		
7	Q.	HAVE REVENUES AND EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH NSPM'S NON-REGULATED
8		BUSINESS ACTIVITIES BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE TEST YEAR COST OF
9		SERVICE?
10	Α.	Yes. We have excluded the revenues and expenses associated with
11		Commission-approved non-regulated business activities (i.e. HomeSmart)
12		from the test year cost of service. Because these activities are recorded in
13		below-the-line accounts, they were not included in the test year.
14		
15		B. Operating and Maintenance Expenses
16	Q.	How does the company calculate Operating Expenses?
17	Α.	The Company's operating expenses can be expressed as follows:
18		Operation and Maintenance Expense (including fuel) (Operating Exp)
19		+ Depreciation Expense (Depreciation)
20		+ Miscellaneous Amortization Expense (Amortization)
21		+ Taxes other than Income Taxes (Other Taxes)
22		+ Income Taxes (Income Tax)
23		= Total Expenses

Q. HAVE YOU CONSIDERED OTHER OPERATING REVENUES AS AN OFFSET TO THE

In this case, the calculation is provided in Table 6 below:

$\sim$	
7.	
_	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

		Гable 6 ing Expenses	
		2024	Exhibit
		Test Year Amount	(BCH-1), Sch. 3
	Item	(\$000s)	Reference
	Operating Expense	\$468,744	Page 2, Line 61
plus	Depreciation	73,521	Page 2, Line 63
plus	Amortization	926	Page 2, Line 64
plus	Other Taxes	27,741	Page 2, Line 75
plus	Income Tax	1,006	Page 3, Line 121
equals	Total Expense	\$571,938	Page 3, Line 125

13

- 14 Q. What are the principle O&M expense categories?
- 15 A. The principle expense categories are:
- Purchased Gas;
- Gas Production and Storage;
- Gas Transmission;
- Gas Distribution;
- Customer Accounting;
- Customer Service & Information;
- Sales, Economic Development and Other; and
- Administrative and General.

1	Q.	How are Purchased Gas costs treated?
2	Α.	Purchased Gas costs are collected through the Purchased Gas Adjustment
3		Rider (PGA). Those costs are fully offset by revenues from the PGA, and
4		therefore have no impact on the 2024 test year revenue deficiency.
5		
6	Q.	HAS THIS CHANGED SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE?
7	Α.	No.
8		
9	Q.	What are Gas Production and Storage costs and how are they
10		DETERMINED?
11	Α.	Gas Production and Storage costs are primarily the costs needed to operate
12		and maintain the Company's gas production and storage assets, including its
13		gas peaking plants and former manufactured gas plant (MGP) sites. These
14		costs are budgeted through development of a production and storage system
15		budget to serve the Company's natural gas customers in Minnesota and North
16		Dakota. Please see Company witness Berger's testimony for additional details.
17		
18	Q.	How does NSPM develop its test year Gas Transmission and
19		DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES?
20	Α.	Transmission and distribution expenses are the O&M costs associated with
21		operating and maintaining our Minnesota gas transmission and distribution
22		facilities. These costs and their development are detailed in the Direct
23		Testimony of Company witness Berger.

1	Q.	HOW DOES XCEL ENERGY DEVELOP ITS TEST YEAR CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING
2		EXPENSE?
3	Α	Customer Accounting O&M cost is associated with providing meter reading,
4		billing, credit and collections, bad debt expense, contact center and
5		operational support services. These costs are developed through the Customer
6		Care budget prepared for both the NSPM electric and gas utilities. These costs
7		and their development are detailed in the Direct Testimony of Company
8		witness Nora C. Lindgren. The allocation of these costs to the gas utility and
9		then to the Minnesota jurisdiction is addressed in Section VI of my Direct
10		Testimony.
11		
12	Q.	What costs are included in Administrative and General (A&G)
13		Expense?
14	Α.	A&G expense includes IT, compensation, office supplies, and expenses and
15		consulting services for officers, executives, and other Company employees
16		properly chargeable to utility operations and not chargeable directly to a
17		particular operating function. Also included in A&G expense are property
18		insurance, and other costs related to injury or damage claims made by
19		employees or others, employee pensions and benefits, regulatory expenses,
20		general advertising expense, utility rental expense not properly chargeable
21		directly to a particular operating function and maintenance costs assignable to
22		the customer accounts, sales, and A&G functions.
23		
24	Q.	Are any costs related to civic or political activities (lobbying)
25		IDENTIFIED IN THE COST OF SERVICE OR ADJUSTMENTS?
26	Α.	No. The Company records all lobbying costs to below-the-line accounting,

FERC account 426.4, Expenditures for Certain Civic, Political and Related

Activities. The Company prepares the unadjusted expenses for the test year using queries that restrict the data to only above-the-line accounts (FERC Accounts 500 through 935). Thus, no adjustment to the cost of service for lobbying costs is required, as these below-the-line amounts are not used in our development of the test year cost of service. We have also excluded the portion of organizational dues associated with lobbying activities. The Direct Testimony of Company witness Sangram S. Bhosale addresses our efforts to identify and remove lobbying.<sup>3</sup>

## C. Depreciation Expense

- 11 Q. What is the basis of the depreciation rates and expense used in the 2024 test year?
  - A. Depreciation expense for the 2024 test year base data reflects the Company's depreciation rates proposed in the Company's 2022 Annual Review of Remaining Lives and Transmission, Distribution, and General (TD&G) Assets filings in Docket No. E,G002/D-22-299. At the time this rate case testimony is being prepared for filing, the 2022 depreciation filing is pending before the Commission, with the agenda meeting scheduled for October 26, 2023. Consistent with past practice, the Company would incorporate any necessary changes resulting from a Commission Order in the 2022 depreciation proceeding into the rebuttal revenue requirement in this case. These adjustments are discussed in Section VII (adjustment 3). The Direct Testimony of Company witness A. Johnson discusses the Company's depreciation expense and remaining lives proposals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Charitable contributions, economic development contributions, and Chamber of Commerce dues are other below-the-line expenses that are moved above the line, in part, through adjustments described in Section VII.

### 1 **D.** Taxes

- 2 Q. What tax expenses are included in the 2024 test year income
- 3 STATEMENT?
- 4 A. We have line items for Property; Income Taxes including Deferred Income
- 5 Tax, Investment Tax Credits and Federal and State Income Tax; and Payroll.
- The State and Federal income taxes are calculated in Schedule 3, Cost of
- 7 Service Study Summary, starting on Page 2 of 3.

8

- 9 Q. How are property taxes determined for the jurisdiction?
- 10 A. Property taxes are determined on an NSPM Total Company basis. The
- 11 functions are then allocated to the Company's regulatory jurisdictions using
- the demand allocator for electric production and transmission, the gas design
- day allocator for gas production, gas transmission is direct assigned by state
- and distribution is direct assigned by state for both electric and gas. Please see
- 15 Volume 4, Tab P-6, Property Tax for more details.

16

- 17 Q. How are income taxes determined for the jurisdiction?
- 18 A. Income taxes are determined based on total before tax book income, tax
- 19 additions, and deductions which determine deferred income taxes and the
- 20 resulting taxable income that is used to calculate federal and state income
- 21 taxes. The federal income tax rate reflects the 21 percent rate effective January
- 22 1, 2018 with the enactment of the TCJA. The utilization or generation of net
- operating losses or tax credits impact both deferred income taxes and federal
- and state income taxes, which I will discuss in more detail below.

1	Q.	Does the cost of service reflect any potential federal or state
2		CORPORATE TAX RATE CHANGES DURING THE TEST YEAR?
3	Α.	Not at this time. While it is possible that there will be state or federal
4		legislation during the course of a rate case to change tax rates, no changes are
5		known at this time.
6		
7	Q.	WHAT IMPACT WOULD A FEDERAL TAX RATE INCREASE HAVE ON THE COST OF
8		SERVICE?
9	Α.	The specific impacts to the cost of service would depend on the actual
10		legislation that is enacted, if any. However, at a high level, an increase in the
11		corporate income tax rate is expected to increase current and deferred income
12		tax expense and ADIT leading to a net increase in the cost of service.
13		Similarly, a decrease in the corporate income tax rate is expected to decrease
14		current and deferred income tax expense and ADIT leading to a net decrease
15		in the cost of service, consistent with the TCJA impacts on the cost of service.
16		If or when federal and/or state tax rates may change, the Company would
17		likely need to work with the Commission to seek relief or otherwise address
18		the changes similarly to how the TCJA was addressed in 2018.
19		
20	Q.	PLEASE DISCUSS HOW THE COMPANY PLANS TO CAPTURE AND MAXIMIZE THE
21		BENEFITS OF THE INFLATION REDUCTION ACT OF 2022 (IRA).
22	Α.	The Company is working with external advisors, including EEI and AGA, to
23		assess the IRA and maximize the benefits for customers. The primary near-
24		term benefits of the IRA are related to tax credits which primarily impact the
25		electric utility, but there are also potential benefits in future investments the
26		Company is exploring and will address in greater detail in future filings in the

1		Natural Gas Innovation Act Docket No. G999/CI-21-566 or the Future of
2		Gas Docket No. G999/CI-21-565.
3		
4		E. AFUDC
5	Q.	WHAT IS AFUDC, AND WHAT IS ITS FUNCTION IN THE INCOME STATEMENT?
6	Α.	As previously noted, AFUDC is the cost of financing during the period a
7		capital investment is included in CWIP. Once an asset is placed in service, the
8		total cost to construct including accumulated AFUDC is recovered through
9		depreciation expense. Company witness A. Johnson's Direct Testimony
10		discusses the role AFUDC plays in allowing utilities to recover their cost of
11		financing. In the income statement, AFUDC is used to offset expenses, thus
12		increasing total operating income, and reducing the revenue requirement. This
13		provides a direct offset to the return requirement associated with the inclusion
14		of CWIP in rate base. Please see Section IV, Rate Base, for a detailed
15		discussion of the relationship between CWIP and AFUDC.
16		
17		VI. UTILITY AND JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATIONS
18		
19	Q.	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
20	Α.	In this section I will:
21		• explain, at a high level, why it is necessary for the Company to allocate
22		costs among its affiliates and between the jurisdictions in which it does
23		business; and
24		• describe the utility and jurisdictional allocations that are used in
25		determining the revenue requirement.

1	Q.	Why is it necessary to assign or allocate costs between NSPM and
2		ITS AFFILIATES?
3	Α.	Whenever services or facilities are shared between NSPM and an affiliate, it is
4		necessary that the appropriate costs related to those services or facilities be
5		assigned or allocated to the appropriate entity. In her Direct Testimony,
6		Company witness Nicole L. Doyle explains the allocations for services and
7		facilities shared between NSPM and an affiliate. Additional information
8		regarding this process and the reason for selecting a particular allocator is also
9		included in the Cost Assignment and Allocation Manual (CAAM) submitted
10		with this application as Company witness Doyle's Exhibit(NLD-1),
11		Schedule 3.
12		
13	Q.	Is it necessary to assign or allocate costs between NSPM's electric
14		AND GAS UTILITIES?
15	Α.	Yes. NSPM operates both an electric utility and a gas utility. Therefore, it is
16		necessary that the appropriate costs related to those services or facilities be
17		assigned or allocated to the appropriate utility.
18		
19	Q.	IS IT NECESSARY TO ASSIGN OR ALLOCATE COSTS BETWEEN JURISDICTIONS?
20	Α.	Yes. The Company operates in two gas jurisdictions: Minnesota and North
21		Dakota. Thus, it is necessary to allocate or assign costs appropriately between
22		jurisdictions.
23		
24	Q.	HOW ARE COSTS ASSIGNED AND ALLOCATED?
25	Α.	The expense budgets relied upon to develop test-year income statement items
26		were generally prepared on a functional basis (i.e. Production, Transmission,
27		Distribution Customer Accounts Customer Information Sales

1		Administrative and General). These functional amounts are directly assigned
		,
2		to the Minnesota jurisdiction gas utility operations where appropriate or
3		allocated based on cost causation.
4		
5		Detailed records are maintained on a functional basis (i.e. Production,
6		Transmission, Distribution, etc.). The capital budgets, from which the
7		projected plant balances in rate base were developed, are also prepared on a
8		functional basis. These functional amounts are assigned to the appropriate
9		jurisdiction directly or allocated based on the use of such assets in providing
10		gas service in a particular jurisdiction and the underlying elements of cost
11		causation.
12		
13		Generally, all production and storage plant is allocated to jurisdiction using the
14		jurisdictional design day allocator. Production and storage O&M expense also
15		is allocated using the jurisdictional design day allocator.
16		
17		Company witness Doyle further explains assignment and allocation of costs in
18		her Direct Testimony.
19		
20	Q.	HOW ARE THESE ALLOCATION FACTORS DEVELOPED?
21	Α.	A summary and description of the allocation factors used to allocate expenses
22		and capital items to the Minnesota jurisdictional gas operations income
23		statement and rate base is contained in Volume 3, Required Information, II
24		Required Financial Information, 3E Rate Base Jurisdictional Allocation
25		Factors and 4F Operating Income Jurisdictional Allocation Factors. Plant
26		

1 investments are accounted for in the manner prescribed by the FEF
---

- Uniform System of Accounts. Company witness Doyle also explains the
- development of allocation factors in her Direct Testimony.

2

- 5 Q. HOW ARE PURCHASED GAS COSTS ALLOCATED?
- A. Purchased gas costs are direct assigned to the Minnesota and North Dakota
   gas jurisdictions based on estimated revenue collections.

8

9

## VII. ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS TO THE TEST YEAR

10

- 11 Q. WHAT TOPICS DO YOU ADDRESS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 12 A. In this section of my testimony, I explain adjustments that affect our proposed 13 test year revenue requirement. These adjustments were identified during our
- 14 review of the 2024 budget and preparation for this case. An individual
- adjustment may be related to a previous Commission Order, reflect Commission policy or traditional ratemaking treatment, or may be proposed
- to address a situation particular to this rate case. In this section, I provide
- details related to each adjustment and explain why each is necessary in order
- 19 to present a representative level of rate base or costs in the test year. I also
- 20 identify where another Company witness provides information to explain and
- 21 support the adjustment.

- 23 Q. How are these adjustments presented in your testimony?
- 24 A. First, I present traditional adjustments consistent with treatment in prior cases
- and existing Commission Policy Statements (Precedential Adjustments) and
- 26 rate case adjustments related to this particular case (Rate Case Adjustments).
- Next, I explain the various amortizations affecting the test year

1		(Amortizations), the removal of certain costs and revenues being recovered						
2		through riders (Rider Removals), and a group of adjustments that are the						
3		result of secondary dynamic calculations in the cost of service model						
4		(Secondary COS Calculations).						
5								
6	Q.	PLEASE LIST THE 2024 TEST YEAR ADJUSTMENTS.						
7	Α.	The following adjustments were made to rate base and the income statement						
8		where applicable. Rate base adjustments are shown on Schedule 10, Rate Base						
9		Adjustment Schedule. Income statement (revenue requirement) adjustments						
10		are shown on Schedule 11, Income Statement Adjustment Schedule. As a						
11		general note, all capital related revenue requirements shown on Schedule 11						
12		are calculated at the last authorized rate of return. Exhibit(BCH-1),						
13		Schedule 12, 2024 Adjustment Summary, provides adjustment amounts for						
14		the test year, all capital related revenue requirements shown on Schedule 12						
15		are calculated at the proposed rate of return. Precedential Adjustments are set						
16		forth in Table 7 in the following section.						
17								
18		Rate Case Adjustments						
19		1) Bad Debt						
20		2) Black Dog Pipeline						
21		3) Gas Depreciation Study TD&G						
22		4) Participant Compensation						
23		5) Incentive Compensation						
24		6) New Area Surcharge						
25		7) New Business CIAC						
26		8) Property Tax Adjustment						

1		<u>Amortizations</u>				
2		9) Rate Case Expense				
3						
4		Rider Removals				
5		10) GUIC Rider				
6						
7		Secondary Cost of Service Calculations				
8		11) ADIT Pro-Rate – IRS Required				
9	12) Cash Working Capital					
10		13) Change in Cost of Capital				
11		14) Net Operating Loss				
12						
13		A. Precedential Adjustments				
14	Q.	PLEASE LIST THE PRECEDENTIAL TEST YEAR ADJUSTMENTS INCLUDED IN THE				
15		REVENUE REQUIREMENT CALCULATION.				
16	Α.	Table 7 below is a list of Precedential Adjustments and their associated				
17		revenue requirement impact, based on past rate case precedent and				
18		Commission policy:				

Table 7
Precedential Adjustments

	MN Gas	Workpaper
Record Type	2024 Test Year	1 1
	(\$000s)	Reference
NSPM-Advertising (Trad)	(\$253)	WP-A1
NSPM-Assn Dues (Trad)	(31)	WP-A2
NSPM-Aviation	(269)	WP-A3
NSPM-Chamber of Commerce Dues	4	WP-A4
NSPM-Charitable Donations (Trad)	134	WP-A5
NSPM-Econ Dev Donations (Trad)	12	WP-A6
NSPM-Econ Develop (Trad)	(9)	WP-A7
NSPM-Employee Expenses	(248)	WP-A8
NSPM-Foundation Admin	(18)	WP-A9
NSPM-Incentive Pay	(153)	WP-A10
NSPM-Incentive Pay Remove Long Term	(987)	WP-A11
NSPM-Pension Non-Qual Removal	(44)	WP-A12
Sub-Total Precedential	(\$1,862)	

15 Q. How does the Company provide support for these Precedential 16 Adjustments?

A. Treatment of these precedential adjustments has become quite consistent in a number of cases before the Commission over the past several years. As such, the Company has provided the adjustments themselves in Schedules to my Direct Testimony, and support for these adjustments, including a detailed description of each adjustment and supporting materials, in the workpapers identified in Table 7 above. This organization is intended to facilitate the review of and provide full support for each adjustment within the identified workpaper.

1	Q.	What	IMPACT	DO	THESE	PRECEDENTIAL	ADJUSTMENTS	HAVE	ON	THE
2		DEFICII	ENCY?							

A. Regulatory treatment of these precedential adjustments decreases the Company's requested cost of service by approximately \$1.9 million, or approximately three percent of our net revenue deficiency. These adjustments reflect actual costs the Company expects to incur to provide gas service to our customers. But we are removing them from our recovery request due to precedential orders by the Commission. Regardless, they directly affect the Company's opportunity to earn its authorized rate of return.

10

- 11 Q. How is the Company incorporating these adjustments into the test 12 year?
- 13 Α. These precedential adjustments are combined in one column matching the 14 Total row in Table 7 above to Schedule 11, Income Statement Adjustment 15 Schedule. In total, these precedential adjustments represent a decrease in our 16 rate request compared to our budgeted costs. The detail of the precedential 17 adjustments in bridge schedule format can be seen in Exhibit\_\_\_(BCH-1), 18 Schedule 13, Precedential Adjustment Detail. In addition, as noted above, 19 each respective workpaper referenced above contains a detail description of 20 the adjustment, including the past precedent and related Commission Orders 21 or Policy Statements.

22

23

# B. Rate Case Adjustments

- 24 1. Bad Debt
- 25 Q. Please describe the Bad Debt adjustment.
- A. The original calculation for 2024 bad debt expense was generated during the budget process and is a function of projected revenues multiplied by the bad

1		debt ratio for NSPM. An analysis was performed to update the bad debt						
2		expense based upon the revenue deficiency in the 2024 test year. An						
3		adjustment is needed to incorporate into the revenue requirement the updated						
4		bad debt amount, which best reflects test year costs.						
5								
6		This adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts						
7		shown on:						
8		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 8,						
9		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 17, column 5,						
10		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A13.						
11								
12		2. Black Dog Pipeline						
13	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BLACK DOG PIPELINE ADJUSTMENT.						
14	Α.	We have adjusted the 2024 test year to remove costs that exceeded our main						
15		and service extension justification.						
16								
17		This adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts						
18		shown on:						
19		• Schedule 10, page 1, row 46, column 7,						
20		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 9,						
21		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 18, column 5,						
22		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A14.						
23								
24		3. Gas Depreciation Study TD&G						
25	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE GAS DEPRECIATION STUDY TD&G ADJUSTMENT.						
26	Α.	In September of 2022, the Company filed its Petition for Annual Review of						
27		Remaining Lives and Depreciation Rates for Transmission, Distribution, and						

1	General Accounts in Docket No. E,G002/D-22-299. As discussed further by			
2	Company witness A. Johnson in her Direct Testimony, at the time this rate			
3	case was being prepared Docket No. E,G002/D-22-299 was pending			
4	Commission decisions. Our 2024 test year was therefore adjusted to include			
5	the impact of the new gas depreciation rates effective as of January 1, 2024,			
6	consistent with the Company's proposals in that docket.			
7				
8	On October 26, 2023, the Commission held an agenda meeting addressing			
9	Docket No. E,G002/D-22-299 and orally ordered the effective date for the			
10	depreciation study to be January 1, 2023. The Commission's written order			
11	also remains pending as this case was finalized. Therefore, the Company was			
12	unable to reflect the order in the rate case filing and will make an adjustment			
13	in Rebuttal Testimony.			
14				
15	This adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts			
16	shown on:			
17	• Schedule 10, page 1, row 46, column 8,			
18	• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 10,			
19	• Schedule 12, page 1, row 19, column 5,			
20	• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A15.			
21				
22	This same docket included the Company's proposal to extend the lives of the			
23	three gas peaking plants; however, this proposal was also included in the			
24	Company's 2022 Gas Rate Case, in which the Company proposed that the			
25	change in remaining lives for the peaking plants and the depreciation impact			
26	be effective as of January 2022, because this depreciation reduction was			

reflected beginning with interim rates in the Company's 2022 Gas Rate Case.

1		The Company continues to implement that outcome in this case, which is also
2		consistent with the Commission's October 26, 2023 oral decision in Docket
3		No. E,G002/D-22-299.
4		
5		4. Participant Compensation
6	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PARTICIPANT COMPENSATION ADJUSTMENT IN THE
7		2024 TEST YEAR.
8	Α.	We have adjusted test year costs to include participant compensation related
9		to Minn. Stat. § 216B.631 (Participant Compensation Statute) effective as of
10		May 24, 2023.
11		
12		This adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts
13		shown on:
14		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 11,
15		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 20, column 5,
16		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A16.
17		
18		5. Incentive Compensation
19	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INCENTIVE COMPENSATION ADJUSTMENT IN THE 2024
20		TEST YEAR.
21	Α.	We have adjusted test year costs to include the budgeted costs of the long-
22		term incentive compensation related to Company achievement of
23		environmental goals and time-based employee retention incentives. Company
24		witness Michael P. Deselich discusses incentive compensation in his Direct
25		Testimony.
26		

2		shown on:
3		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, columns 12-13,
4		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 21-22, column 5,
5		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A17-A18.
6		
7		6. New Area Surcharge
8	Q.	Please describe the New Area Surcharge (NAS).
9	Α.	The NAS projects involve major expansions of service facilities that do not
10		meet the general cost justification criteria in the Company's gas service tariffs.
11		An NAS is a separate charge that is added to customer bills for a specified
12		period to supplement recovery of the cost of the new area expansion.
13		
14	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NEW AREA SURCHARGE ADJUSTMENT.
15	Α.	The NAS adjustment is a revenue adjustment to account for the capital
16		expenditures and other related expenses that will be collected through the new
17		area surcharge.
18		
19		This adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts
20		shown on:
21		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 14,
22		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 23, column 5,
23		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A19.
24		
25		

This adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts

2	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NEW BUSINESS CIAC ADJUSTMENT.
3	Α.	The Company analyzed its new business development practices in light of the
4		Commission Order in Docket No. G999/CI-90-563, as described in the
5		Direct Testimony of Company witness Scott S. Hults. This analysis identified
6		certain instances where new business CIAC that would have been justified was
7		not collected.
8		
9	Q.	How does this analysis impact the 2024 test year?
10	Α.	Based on the findings of this analysis, an adjustment was made to reflect the
11		reduction in plant in service and other plant related items had the Company
12		collected the CIAC.
13		
14		This adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts
15		shown on:
16		• Schedule 10, page 1, row 46, column 9,
17		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 15,
18		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 24, column 5,
19		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A20.
20		
21		8. Property Tax Adjustment
22	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENT IN THE 2024 TEST YEAR.
23	Α.	The Company has reduced the test year property taxes to be consistent with
24		the 2022 actual property taxes. In conjunction with this adjustment the
25		Company is also proposing to continue a tracker consistent with the last rate
26		case. Company witness Kowalowski supports the 2024 property tax forecast;
27		however, the Company is voluntarily decreasing its property tax request for

New Business Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)

*7*.

1		the 2024 test year for the Minnesota gas jurisdiction as a method to provide				
2		rate mitigation in the near term. The property tax adjustment and tracker is				
3		discussed in further detail in Section VIII.				
4						
5		This adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts				
6		shown on:				
7		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 16,				
8		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 25, column 5,				
9		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A21.				
10						
11		C. Amortizations				
12		1. Rate Case Expense				
13	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW RATE CASE EXPENSES WERE ESTIMATED.				
14	Α.	We built the 2024 rate case budget based upon a combination of our plans for				
15		outside experts, expected regulatory and legal fees and estimates for				
16		administrative costs such as required notices. The estimated total rate case				
17		expense level for this rate case is \$3.1 million to be amortized over the three-				
18		year period 2024-2026. In preparing the final schedules for the rate case filing				
19		the Company noted an omission in the calculation of the rate case expenses				
20		allocated to the regulated utility that would decrease the expense in the cost of				
21		service. The Company has made an adjustment to the interim rate request and				
22		will make the same adjustment in Rebuttal Testimony.				
23						
24	Q.	WHAT ELSE IS INCLUDED IN THE REQUESTED RATE CASE EXPENSE AMOUNT				
25		IN THE 2024 TEST YEAR?				
26	Α.	Based on the Settlement Agreement in Docket No. G-002/GR-21-678, the				
27		rate case expense was a reduction of \$0.9 million and was amortized over a				

1		three year period from 2022 to 2024. Since the amortization period will not be					
2		completed prior to the 2024 test year, the remaining amortization offsets a					
3		portion of the current rate case expenses.					
4							
5		The net amount of current year rate case expenses and deferred levels impacts					
6		the test year revenue requirements by the amounts shown on:					
7		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 17,					
8		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 28, column 5,					
9		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A22.					
10							
11		D. Rider Removals					
12	Q.	WHAT RIDER MECHANISMS ARE CURRENTLY USED BY THE COMPANY?					
13	Α.	The Company currently uses three cost recovery riders:					
14		• Gas Utility Infrastructure Cost (GUIC) Rider;					
15		<ul> <li>Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) Rider; and</li> </ul>					
16		• Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA).					
17							
18	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING WITH RESPECT TO THE TREATMENT OF					
19		COSTS RECOVERED THOUGH RATE RIDERS?					
20	Α.	We propose to:					
21		<ul> <li>Continue use of the GUIC Rider for recovery of costs; and</li> </ul>					
22		• Continue use of the CIP Rider and PGA in their current forms.					
23							
24		Below I discuss adjustments to remove costs related to the GUIC from the					
25		revenue requirement to reflect these rider proposals. No adjustments are					
26		needed to the 2024 test year for the CIP or PGA as discussed in detail in					
27		Section VIII of my Direct Testimony.					

#### 1. GUIC Rider

2	O.	WHAT IS THE	GUIC RIDER?

3 Minnesota Statute § 216B.1635 (the GUIC Statute) allows a utility to petition the Commission for the rider recovery of "gas utility infrastructure costs."4 4 5 According to the GUIC statute, GUIC costs that may be recovered through a 6 rider can relate to two different types of "gas utility projects," generally 7 speaking: (1) replacement of natural gas facilities located in the public right-of-8 way by the construction or improvement of a highway, road, street, public 9 building, or other public work by or on behalf of the United States, the state 10 of Minnesota or a political subdivision; or (2) replacement or modification of 11 existing natural gas facilities as required by a federal or state agency. Costs 12 that do not fall into these categories, such as line extensions, expansions, or 13 upgrades, are not subject to rider recover under the GUIC statute.

14

1

- Q. Is the Company proposing continued use of the GUIC Rider during
   the test year?
- A. Yes. We propose continued use of the GUIC Rider during the test year for project expenditures not placed in service as of December 31, 2023. We propose to recover the capital-related revenue requirements and property taxes as well as incremental operating and maintenance expenses. Therefore, we have not included any expenditures for these projects in the 2024 forecast as a part of our 2024 test year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Minnesota Legislature amended Minnesota Statutes § 216B.1635 to extend the expiration date to June 30, 2028 (2023 Minn. Laws Ch. 60, art. 12, § 66).

- 1 Q. Please describe the GUIC Rider Removal adjustment.
- 2 A. The GUIC Rider removal adjustment removes all costs from the test year
- 3 jurisdictional cost of service for the projects that we propose will stay in the
- 4 rider after the implementation of final rates in this case. The GUIC Rider test
- 5 year adjustment ensures no double recovery of these costs.

- 7 Q. What costs are included in the GUIC Rate Rider Removal
- 8 ADJUSTMENT?
- 9 A. This adjustment decreases the test year rate base by \$17.4 million in 2024. The
- adjustment has a net zero impact on the test year revenue requirements, as we
- 11 expect full recovery. Support for these amounts can be found on:
- Schedule 10, page 1, row 46, column 10,
- Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 18,
- Schedule 12, page 1, row 31, column 5,
- Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A23.

16

- 17 Q. Are the rider removals based on the same data used in the 2024
- 18 RIDER FILINGS?
- 19 A. Yes. The same vintage of data was used for both the rate case test year and
- 20 our rider filing. However, we note the two filings calculate revenue
- 21 requirements using different rate base averaging methodologies, and certain
- inputs in the rider are required to use historically approved values. Therefore,
- even though the underlying data is the same, variances exist in the revenue
- requirement calculations between the two filings.

1		E. Secondary Cost of Service Calculations
2		1. ADIT Pro-Rate – IRS Required
3	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADIT PRO-RATE ADJUSTMENT THAT IS REQUIRED BY
4		THE IRS AND INCLUDED IN THESE SECONDARY CALCULATIONS.
5	Α.	In general, the IRS tax regulations in Sec. 1.167(l) define a pro-rated schedule
6		for the extent to which average accumulated deferred income taxes can be
7		used to reduce rate base to comply with the tax normalization requirements of
8		the Code when forecast information is used to set rates. Given that the
9		Company's filing utilizes forecast test year data, this condition applies. This
10		has been supported by a number of Private Letter Rulings (PLRs) issued by
11		the IRS. In addition, FERC approved the proration logic included in the
12		Company's Attachment O-NSP transmission formula rate of the MISO Open
13		Access Transmission, Energy and Operating Reserve Markets Tariff in
14		Docket No. ER18-2322-000.
15		
16		This secondary calculation limits the ADIT deduction from rate base by
17		applying the IRS defined pro-rate method to only the forecast entries to this
18		balance. Support for this calculation is included in Volume 4, Section VIII
19		Adjustments, Tab A24.
20		
21		The adjustment impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts
22		shown on:

- Schedule 10, page 1, row 46, column 11,
- Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 19,
  - Schedule 12, page 1, row 34, column 5,
- Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A24.

27

2	Q.	Please describe the Cash Working Capital adjustment being made
3		AS A SECONDARY CALCULATION.
4	Α.	As discussed earlier in Section IV.D, Other Rate Base, the Company has
5		incorporated a secondary calculation to apply the various revenue lead days
6		and expense lag days to the various income statement components to result in
7		the appropriate cash working capital rate base adjustment. The adjustment
8		impacts the test year revenue requirements by the amounts shown on:
9		• Schedule 10, page 1, row 46, column 12,
10		• Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 20,
11		• Schedule 12, page 1, row 35, column 5,
12		• Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A25.
13		
14		3. Change in Cost of Capital
15	Q.	Please describe the impact of the change in the cost of capital
16		ADJUSTMENT.
17	Α.	The cost of capital adjustment is the effect of the changes in the overall cost
18		of capital between the cost of capital (also referred to as the overall rate of
19		return, or ROR) being requested in this case and the effective cost of capital
20		authorized in Docket No. G002/GR-21-678. Table 8 below provides the
21		requested rate of return in this case, and the difference in the rate of return for
22		the test year relative to the effective rate of return of 6.97 percent authorized
23		in Docket No. G002/GR-21-678.
24		

Cash Working Capital

*2*.

Table 8 Proposed Rate of 1	Return
	2024 Test Year
Proposed Rate of Return	7.48%
Increase relative to 6.97%	0.51%

On Schedule 11, 2024 Income Statement Adjustment Schedule, the revenue deficiencies for the base data and all other adjustments are calculated at the 6.97 percent overall cost of capital. This adjustment calculates the required operating income resulting from the change in the overall cost of capital applied to the requested rate base.

We calculated the revenue deficiencies in this manner so that changes, if any, in the overall cost of capital that occur during the duration of the rate case do not affect the revenue requirements for each adjustment. The adjustment reflects both the change in the stated ROE of 9.57 percent in our last rate case to 10.20 percent (for final rates only) as well as the changes in short-term and long-term debt.

The reduction in our overall rate of return as compared to our 2022 test year equates to an increase of 51 basis points or \$8.2 million in revenue requirements. The impact of these adjustments on the test year revenue requirements is shown on:

- Schedule 11, page 1, row 41, column 21,
- Volume 4, Section VIII Adjustments, Tab A26.

1		4. Net Operating Loss
2	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S NET OPERATING LOSS POSITION.
3	Α.	The Company is not currently in a net operating loss position; therefore no
4		adjustment is necessary. Any changes in the revenues, expenses, or capital
5		structure will cause the income tax calculation to be changed. This could, in
6		turn, affect the timing of the deferred tax assets generated or consumed and
7		added to or removed from rate base. The Company will update the 2024 test
8		year secondary calculation accordingly.
9		
10		VIII. COSTS RECOVERED IN RIDERS AND TRACKERS
11		
12		A. Riders
12 13	Q.	A. Riders What topics do you discuss in this section of your testimony?
	Q. A.	
13	•	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
13 14	•	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?  In this section, I present our proposed treatment of costs recovered in riders
13 14 15	•	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?  In this section, I present our proposed treatment of costs recovered in riders during the test year, including riders that we propose to continue to use and
13 14 15 16	•	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?  In this section, I present our proposed treatment of costs recovered in riders during the test year, including riders that we propose to continue to use and costs we propose to move to base rates. I provide detailed information
13 14 15 16 17	•	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY? In this section, I present our proposed treatment of costs recovered in riders during the test year, including riders that we propose to continue to use and costs we propose to move to base rates. I provide detailed information supporting the adjustments to the test year that I presented in Section VII of
13 14 15 16 17 18	•	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY? In this section, I present our proposed treatment of costs recovered in riders during the test year, including riders that we propose to continue to use and costs we propose to move to base rates. I provide detailed information supporting the adjustments to the test year that I presented in Section VII of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	•	What topics do you discuss in this section of your testimony? In this section, I present our proposed treatment of costs recovered in riders during the test year, including riders that we propose to continue to use and costs we propose to move to base rates. I provide detailed information supporting the adjustments to the test year that I presented in Section VII of my testimony.

- Q. What is the Company's base rate revenue requirement exclusive of
   Rider roll-ins?
- A. Our proposed total revenue requirement in 2024, including our proposed increase in base rates, is approximately \$284.66 million as reflected in Table 9 below.

Table 9 Total Cost Recovery Including Riders (\$0	)00s)
Recovery Method	2024 Test Year
Present Revenues	\$617,806
Cumulative Rate Increase	59,026
Proposed Revenues	676,832
Less: Rider Revenue included in present revenue GUIC Rider	13,115
CIP Rider	28,618
PGA Rider	350,434
Total Rider Revenue included in present revenue	392,168
Net Base Rate Revenue	\$284,664

Rate rider recovery estimates are preliminary, are subject to change, and are also subject to the Commission's decision in individual rate rider dockets. We provide this information so that the Commission, parties, and our customers can understand the combined impact of our requests.

2223

18

19

20

### 1. GUIC Rider

- Q. What adjustment have you made to ensure no double recovery of
- 3 COSTS RECOVERED IN THE GUIC RIDER AFTER THE IMPLEMENTATION OF
- 4 FINAL RATES IN THIS CASE?
- 5 A. The project costs and revenues associated with the projects remaining in the
- 6 GUIC Rider have been removed from our 2024 test year. A review is also
- done for each GUIC filing to ensure that no costs included in base rates are
- 8 included in the GUIC filing. I provide information related to the 2024 test
- 9 year adjustment that ensures no double recovery of these costs in Section
- 10 VII.D, Rider Removals, GUIC Rider (adjustment 10).
- 11 Q. Please describe how you are proposing to move projects to base
- 12 RATES AT THE CONCLUSION OF THIS RATE CASE.
- 13 A. As noted above, we propose to move projects from the GUIC Rider to base
- rates at the conclusion of this case because it reduces the Interim Rate increase
- and clarifies that there is no potential for double recovery of costs. Coincident
- with the implementation of final rates in this rate case, the project costs will be
- 17 removed from the GUIC Rider for the remaining months of the year and final
- rates will be designed to recover the costs of these projects. This approach is
- 19 consistent with how GUIC costs were treated in the settlement of our most
- 20 recent gas rate case, Docket No. G002/GR-21-678.

21

- More specifically, the GUIC Rider will be updated to exclude costs for these
- projects for the remaining months of the year following implementation. The
- GUIC present revenues will be excluded from the 2024 test year and final
- 25 rates will be designed to recover the final revenue requirement approved by
- 26 the Commission, including the final revenue requirement for these projects.
- The interim rate refund will not be affected for these projects, as any

2		will remain in the GUIC Rider.
3		
4	Q.	What does the Company propose to include in its Final Rate
5		COMPLIANCE TO SUPPORT MOVEMENT OF THESE PROJECTS FROM THE GUIC
6		RIDER TO BASE RATES?
7	Α.	We propose to submit a GUIC Rider compliance report with Final Rate
8		compliance. This report will clearly identify the revenue requirements removed
9		from the GUIC Rider, the revenue recovered from customers for the projects
10		moving to base rates during the interim rate period, and the development of
11		the revised GUIC Rider adjustment factors. <sup>5</sup> The Company anticipates this
12		process will be similar to the process used to move recovery of CIP costs
13		from the CIP Rider to base rates.
14		
15	Q.	HOW ARE THE PROJECTS THAT WILL MOVE TO BASE RATES TREATED DURING
16		THE INTERIM RATE PERIOD?
17	Α.	During the interim rate period, the Company proposes that the identified
18		projects continue recovery through the GUIC Rider, along with the other
19		costs that we are proposing to continue to recover through the GUIC Rider
20		after implementation of final rates.
21		
22		

over/under recovery during the interim rate period related to these projects

1

<sup>5</sup> Due to the current implementation pattern for GUIC Rider adjustment factors, the calculation of this rate will be reflected in the Final Rate compliance filing but will be implemented consistent with the timing of the applicable GUIC adjustment factors.

- Q. How will you ensure no double recovery of these project costs
   Occurs during the interim rate period?
- 3 A. We are proposing to continue recovery of these projects through the GUIC
- 4 Rider during the interim period and to move these projects into base rates at
- 5 the end of this case. The 2024 test year also includes the project costs in the
- 6 test year cost of service as well as the project revenues in present revenue.
- 7 Thus, an interim rate adjustment is necessary to ensure no double recovery of
- 8 these costs during the interim rate period. Accordingly, our 2024 interim rate
- 9 request includes an adjustment to remove the projects identified to roll into
- base rates and the present revenue from the development of interim rates.
- 11 Q. Please provide additional detail related to the interim rate
- 12 ADJUSTMENT FOR THE GUIC RIDER COSTS.
- 13 A. The Interim Rate Adjustment removes the project costs and present revenue
- included in the 2024 test year from the interim cost of service. This
- adjustment decreases the interim cost of service rate base by \$105.9 million
- and present revenue by \$13.1 million. Additional detail on this adjustment can
- be found in Volume 1, Notice of Change in Rates and Interim Rate Petition,
- 18 Interim Rate Supporting Schedules and Workpapers.

- 2. CIP Rider
- 21 Q. What costs are recovered through the CIP Rider?
- 22 A. The CIP Rider is designed to recover conservation and demand-side
- 23 management program costs that are incremental to the level collected in base
- 24 rates. Gas base rates are designed to include conservation and demand-side
- 25 management cost at an authorized level approved by the Deputy
- 26 Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of
- 27 Energy Resources for a given test year. The CIP Rider collects any incremental

1		conservation and demand-side management costs above the authorized level
2		in final base rates.
3		
4	Q.	How is the CIP Rider treated in the test year?
5	Α.	The CIP Rider amount in the case is at the level needed to assure that the CIP
6		revenue (Base and Rider) is equal to the expense in the test year. With the total
7		amount of CIP expense and CIP revenue equal, the overall CIP program does
8		not contribute to the test year deficiency.
9		
10		3. Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA)
11	Q.	How is the PGA treated in the test year?
12	Α.	Purchased gas costs are recovered from customers through the PGA. Both
13		revenue and purchased gas expenses recovered through the PGA are included
14		in the test year, and the total amount of each is equal. Any true-up of the
15		revenues and costs during the test year will occur in the PGA and, therefore,
16		there will be no need to address a change in revenue requirement in the final
17		compliance filing.
18		
19		B. True-Ups and Trackers
20	Q.	WHAT TOPICS DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
21	Α.	In this section, I propose tracker treatment for property taxes, credit card fees,
22		participant compensation, and MGP expenses. I also provide detailed
23		information supporting the adjustments to the test year that I presented in
24		Section VII of my testimony.
25		
26		In the following subsections of my testimony, I will address our proposed rate
27		case treatment for each of these trackers in detail.

## 1. Property Tax True-Up

Q. What is the Company proposing with respect to Property Tax
 3 expenses?

Company witness Kowalowski describes property tax expense and supports the 2024 forecast in his Direct Testimony. In the Company's 2022 Gas Rate Case, a property tax true-up mechanism was approved as part of the Settlement Agreement (2022 Gas Settlement Agreement).<sup>6</sup> In this case, the Company proposes the same mechanism with respect to property tax expense, proposing to establish a baseline expense amount in our test year revenue requirement, and to track actual costs above and/or below this baseline annually making a compliance filing each year. This same true-up mechanism has been in place for our electric utility for last several rate cases.<sup>7</sup> In addition, as discussed by Company witness Kowalowski, the Company is voluntarily decreasing its property tax request for the 2024 test year for the Minnesota gas jurisdiction. The Company is making this proposal as a method to provide rate mitigation in the near term. I discuss this adjustment to the 2024 property tax test year amount and the property tax true-up mechanism further below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy's Petition for Authority to Increase Natural Gas Rates in Minnesota, Docket No. G002/GR-21-678, COMPREHENSIVE AND UNANIMOUS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (October 4, 2022) at Section III.C.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Company's electric 2016-2019 Multi-Year Rate Plan (MYRP) (Docket No. E002/GR-15-826) was based on a settlement that included true-ups during the MYRP period for property tax expense. This property tax true-up was extended through 2021 as part of the Commission's approval of the Company's 2021 True-Up Mechanisms Petition in Docket No. E002/M-20-743. The Commission also approved an extension of this mechanism for the MYRP period (2022-2024) in the Company's most recent electric rate case (Docket No. E002/GR-21-630).

- Q. Please describe the property tax adjustment the Company is making
   To the 2024 test year.
- 3 The Company is voluntarily reducing the baseline property tax expense 4 amount in the 2024 test year as a way to mitigate rates in the near term. While 5 Company witness Kowalowski supports the property tax forecast 6 methodology, which provides reasonable results, some factors affecting the 7 property tax expense, such as the cap rate determined by the Department of 8 Revenue (DOR), weightings, and local tax rates, are outside of the Company's 9 control and are not fully predictable. As a result, final property taxes in any 10 given year could be higher or lower than our forecasts. As such, due to the 11 significant increase in property taxes forecasted for the 2024 test year, the 12 Company believes it would also be reasonable to set the 2024 baseline 13 property tax expense at the 2022 actual level, given that under the proposed 14 symmetrical true-up mechanism, actual property taxes in 2024 will be addressed. Adjusting the property tax expense to 2022 actuals for the 15 16 Minnesota gas jurisdiction results in a reduction of 17.8 percent, to \$18.6 17 million for the 2024 test year base rate amount.

- 19 Q. WHY WOULD A PROPERTY TAX TRUE-UP MECHANISM BE APPROPRIATE?
- A. We believe a symmetrical true-up mechanism reflecting actual property taxes in each year either higher or lower than the baseline amount included in base rates allows the Company to recover this cost of providing service and at the same time ensures that customers only pay actual property tax amounts for a given year. Further, the true-up process that has been in place for the electric utility has worked well and was also adopted in the Company's 2022 Gas Rate Case as described above.

- 1 Q. Please describe the continuation of the true up process in more detail.
- 3 Consistent with the current electric and gas true-up mechanism, the Company 4 proposes to submit updated property tax information in an annual filing once 5 property taxes for a given year are final. For example, our first update would 6 be filed after we receive 2024 property tax statements in the spring of 2025. 7 That filing would include final property tax amounts for 2024, because we 8 would have the updated actual 2024 DOR valuation inputs and actual effective 9 tax rates at that time. The property tax process and timing is describe in detail 10 in Company witness Kowalowski's Direct Testimony. Our compliance filing 11 will compare actual and test year property tax amounts and will provide a 12 refund plan for any over-recovery or a deferral for any under-recovery. I 13 provide additional details at the end of this section about how deferred 14 refunds or recoveries for the various trackers proposed by the Company will be netted together. 15

- 17 Q. WHY IS THIS TRUE-UP PROPOSAL REASONABLE?
- A. First, it is reasonable to adjust the 2024 test year property tax expense amount to the 2022 actual level as a method of rate mitigation in the near term, given that this amount will be trued-up to actual property taxes in each year. A symmetrical true-up mechanism reflecting actual property taxes in each year compared to the baseline amount included in base rates allows the Company to recover this cost of providing service and at the same time ensures that customers only pay actual property tax amounts for a given year.

25

#### 2. Credit Card Fee Tracker

2 Q. What is the credit card fee tracker?

A. As Company witness Lindgren explains, in the Company's 2022 Gas Rate Case, we proposed to waive credit card fees for individual customers, and instead include credit card fees in our base rate structure so that the fees are part of overall O&M rather than passed to customers as individual transaction fees. Because this program would be new for the Company, we proposed to establish a baseline amount of credit card fees in base rates and track actual costs above or below that baseline for recovery or return to customers. As part of the 2022 Gas Settlement Agreement, the parties agreed to the implementation of this credit card fee waiver and tracker mechanism if the credit card fee waiver was approved by the Commission in the Company's electric rate case in Docket No. E002/GR-21-630.8 The Commission's Reconsideration Order in the electric rate case clarified the Commission's approval of the Company's proposed credit card fee waiver. As a result, the Company will begin implementing this waiver effective January 1, 2024.

Q. Please describe in more detail the tracker mechanism in the approved 2022 Gas Settlement Agreement.

A. As Company witness Lindgren explains in her Direct Testimony, the
Company currently estimates annual total gas credit card fees of approximately
\$1.7 million once customers are no longer charged individually for each
transaction. We propose to establish this amount in our test year revenue
requirement and track actual annual fees above and/or below this baseline

<sup>8 2022</sup> Gas Settlement Agreement, Section III.C.17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION, DENYING PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION, AND GRANTING CLARIFICATION, Docket No. E002/GR-21-630 (October 6, 2023) at Order Point 4.

annually beginning January 1, 2024. The Company will make a compliance filing beginning in May 2025 and each year until our next Minnesota gas rate case. Our compliance filing will compare actual and test year credit card fee amounts and will provide a refund plan for any over-recovery or a deferral for any under-recovery. I provide additional details at the end of this section about how deferred refunds or recoveries for the various trackers proposed by the Company will be netted together.

#### Q. WHY IS THIS TRACKER PROPOSAL REASONABLE?

This tracker implementation is merely a continuation of the previously approved process for a new NSPM program that will modernize payment options for our customers and enhance our customers' experience with their gas utility service. The tracker will ensure the Company does not over- or under-collect credit card fees in the test year in relation to this program and will also enable reporting in our next rate case on the extent to which customers take advantage of this option.

Α.

## 3. Participant Compensation Tracker

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

In this section of my testimony I describe the recently-enacted statute (Minn. Stat. § 216B.631) governing how participants in the Company's regulatory proceedings may recover their participation costs from the Company, and I support the Company's proposal to track the associated costs. Given that the law is newly-established and has not yet been fully tested, a tracker will ensure customers pay no more or less than the Company's actual costs of participant compensation, while also supporting the purpose of the underlying legislation.

- 1 Q. Please describe recent Minnesota Law changes regarding
- 2 COMPENSATING PARTICIPANTS IN THE COMPANY'S REGULATORY
- 3 PROCEEDINGS.
- 4 A. In 2023, the Minnesota Legislature passed legislation enacting a new law
- 5 governing compensation for participants in regulatory utility proceedings. At a
- 6 high level, Minn. Stat. § 216B.631 (Participant Compensation Statute) effective
- as of May 24, 2023 provides that, subject to eligibility requirements, the
- 8 Commission may order costs incurred by participants in a utility's regulatory
- 9 proceedings to be paid by the utility. The statute also allows for timely
- 10 recovery of these costs from customers.

- 12 Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION OF THE PARTICIPANT
- Compensation Statute relative to the Company's request in this
- 14 CASE?
- 15 A. Yes. Generally, Minn. Stat. § 216B.631 encourages participation in utility
- regulatory proceedings by parties that may not otherwise have the resources to
- do so. Eligible participants include non-profit organizations that are tax
- 18 exempt and incorporated or organized in Minnesota and would suffer
- 19 financial hardship if not compensated, or Tribal governments located in
- 20 Minnesota. 10 The statute provides that the Commission may order a public
- 21 utility to compensate eligible participants for all or a part of the costs incurred
- 22 to participate in a utility's regulatory proceeding before the Commission,
- subject to various considerations<sup>11</sup> and limits as to amounts allowed for
- 24 individual participants per year. 12 The statute also provides the maximum

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Minn. Stat. § 216B.631, subd. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Minn. Stat. § 216B.631, subd. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Minn. Stat. § 216B.631, subd. 4(a)-4(c).

1	aggregate amount a public utility could be required to pay annually based or
2	the utility's annual gross operating revenue in Minnesota. 13 For NSPM as a
3	whole, the total annual cap on aggregate compensation is \$1.25 million.

- 5 Q. FOR WHICH TYPES OF PROCEEDINGS MAY PARTICIPANTS REQUEST 6 COMPENSATION?
- 7 Under the statute, the Commission may order a utility to compensate eligible 8 participants in a wide variety of proceedings, including those related to: rate 9 change requests; utility requests for cost recovery through general rates or 10 riders; ratepayer protections, service quality, or customer disconnection 11 policies or procedures; low-income or affordability programs; tariffs and rate 12 design; performance incentive measures; distribution planning and grid 13 modernization; investigations or inquiries initiated by the Commission or the 14 Department of Commerce; and pilot programs with proposed costs of at least \$5 million. 14 In short, this encompasses many of the Company's regulatory 15 16 proceedings before the Commission.

17

- 18 Q. TO WHICH PROCEEDINGS WOULD THE PARTICIPANT COMPENSATION STATUTE 19 INITIALLY APPLY?
- A. The statute became effective as of May 24, 2023, and provides that it applies to any proceeding in which the Commission has not yet issued a final order as of that date.<sup>15</sup> Thus we assume participants may submit compensation requests for a variety of current, pending, or future proceedings that fit the statute, including but not limited to this gas rate case proceeding.

<sup>13</sup> Minn. Stat. § 216B.631, subd. 4(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Minn. Stat. § 216B.631, subd. 1(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Minn. Stat. § 216B.631, EFFECTIVE DATE section.

- Q. IN GENERAL, WHAT IS THE COMPENSATION PROCESS?
- 2 At a high level, participants would submit a compensation request to the 3 Commission, and the Commission would review each request for eligibility and the extent to which conditions in the statute are met. The Commission 4 5 may then issue an order requiring a utility to pay all or a part of the 6 participant's costs to participate in a proceeding. If the Commission issues an 7 order requiring the utility to pay compensation costs, the utility must file proof 8 of payment with the Commission within 30 days of the latter of expiration of 9 the reconsideration period for compensation order or the date of a 10 Commission order following reconsideration. The statue also provides that 11 the Commission may issue orders necessary to allow a public utility to recover 12 costs of participant compensation on a timely basis.<sup>17</sup>

1

- Q. What is the total aggregate amount the Company expects to incur
   for participant compensation each year?
- 16 As I noted above, in any calendar year the total aggregate amount for a utility Α. 17 of Xcel Energy's size is \$1.25 million on a combined gas and electric basis. 18 Allocating between the gas and electric utility based on the Common Utility 19 Allocator, the Company expects to incur approximately \$85,000 for 20 participant compensation costs related to gas regulatory proceedings each year. 21 The Company anticipates incurring the maximum amount allowed under the statute each year given the wide range of regulatory proceedings for which 22 23 participant compensation is allowed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Minn. Stat. § 216B.631, subd. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Minn. Stat. § 216B.631, subd. 6(c).

- 1 Q. What is the Company proposing with respect to recovering the
- 2 COSTS OF COMPENSATING PARTICIPANTS IN THE COMPANY'S REGULATORY
- 3 PROCEEDINGS?
- 4 A. As a result of the Participant Compensation Statute, the Company is
- 5 proposing to include in base rates a baseline amount for participant
- 6 compensation costs in the 2024 test year, and requests approval to defer costs
- above or below the test year amount in a tracker account for recovery or
- 8 return to customers.

- 10 Q. WHY DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE A TRACKER WOULD BE APPROPRIATE?
- 11 A. Given that this is a new expense required under statute that the Company will
- incur each year on an ongoing basis, we believe establishing a baseline amount
- in base rates, with a symmetrical true-up mechanism, would be appropriate.
- While it would be difficult to predict the exact amount of participant
- 15 compensation that the Company will incur each year, as discussed above, we
- believe the Company will likely reach the maximum amount each year, and a
- 17 tracker would mitigate any risk of over- or under-collection so that only actual
- costs are ultimately recovered through rates.

- 20 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S TRACKER PROPOSAL IN MORE DETAIL.
- 21 A. The Company calculated the split between the electric and gas utility to
- determine the amount related to gas operations the Company anticipates
- incurring on an annual basis. We propose to establish this amount in our test
- year revenue requirement in this case and track actual annual costs above
- and/or below this baseline between January 1, 2024 and our next Minnesota
- gas rate case, and would submit an annual compliance filing. Our compliance
- 27 filing will compare actual and test year participant compensation amounts, and

1 will provide a refund plan for any over-recovery or a deferral for any under-

recovery. I provide additional details at the end of this section about how

deferred refunds or recoveries for the various trackers proposed by the

Company will be netted together.

5

2

3

4

## 6 Q. WHY IS THIS TRACKER PROPOSAL REASONABLE?

7 Setting a baseline amount for participation compensation expense in base rates 8 provides for continued support of eligible parties' participation in the 9 Company's regulatory proceedings before the Commission, which ultimately benefits customers as more points of view are represented in those 10 11 proceedings. The tracker will ensure the Company does not over- or under-12 collect participant compensation costs in the test year and will also enable 13 reporting in our next rate case on the extent to which intervenors have utilized 14 this new arrangement supporting participation in regulatory proceedings.

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# 4. MGP Expense Tracker

17 Q. What is the Company proposing with respect to MGP expenses?

Company witness Berger describes manufactured gas plant (MGP) investigation and clean-up costs historically incurred by the Company and ongoing. In the Company's 2022 Gas Rate Case, the Company requested deferral treatment for these variable and important costs, proposing to establish a baseline expense amount in our test year revenue requirement and track actual costs above and/or below this baseline annually until the Company's next Minnesota gas rate case. For the purposes of settlement in that case, the parties agreed to the Company's proposed annual MGP expense amount in the test year but did not establish a tracker mechanism for these

costs. <sup>18</sup> As Company witness Berger explains, in this case we are proposing to
include MGP expenses in our base rate structure. Because these costs are
variable from year-to-year, but ongoing and important, the Company is
proposing to establish a baseline amount of MGP expense in base rates and
track actual costs above or below that baseline.

1

2

3

4

5

## 7 Q. WHY DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE A TRACKER WOULD BE APPROPRIATE?

8 Given that the MGP expenses incurred are subject to site specific conditions, 9 redevelopment activities by third parties, and changing environmental 10 standards, it is difficult to predict the actual costs that will be incurred in 11 future years at any one particular site. On average, over time, and across 12 multiple projects, however, the Company has incurred, and will continue to 13 incur, MGP investigation and clean-up costs. While Company witness Berger 14 supports the Company's initial estimates, a tracker would mitigate any risk of 15 over- or under-collection so that only actual costs are ultimately recovered 16 through rates.

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18 Q. Please describe the Company's tracker proposal in more detail.

A. As Company witness Berger explains in her Direct Testimony, the Company established an estimate of \$1.0 million of MGP expense in the 2024 test year. The Company anticipates costs will average approximately \$1.0 million per year going forward based on historical data, recognizing that emerging science, new facts, potential insurance recoveries, and ongoing work on existing and new sites creates significant uncertainty. We propose to establish this amount in our test year revenue requirement and track actual costs above and/or

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> 2022 Gas Settlement Agreement, Section III.C.7.

below this baseline annually starting January 1, 2024 until our next Minnesota
gas rate case, and would submit an annual compliance filing. If insurance
recoveries are obtained, they will be applied to the regulatory asset to offset
the costs incurred. Our compliance filing will compare actual and test year
MPG expenses and will provide a refund plan for any over-recovery or a
deferral for any under-recovery. I provide additional details at the end of this
section about how deferred refunds or recoveries for the various trackers
proposed by the Company will be netted together.

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 10 Q. WHY IS THIS TRACKER PROPOSAL REASONABLE?
- 11 A. As stated above, the actual amount of our ongoing MGP expenses incurred 12 are subject to multiple factors that are difficult to predict. However, site clean-13 up is beneficial to the public interest, and the tracker ensures both actual costs 14 and associated insurance recoveries (to the extent available) are recovered or 15 refunded to customers.

- Q. Can you provide additional details about how deferred refunds or recoveries for the various trackers proposed by the Company will be netted together?
- A. Yes. While the Company proposes a few different trackers, ultimately, we anticipate that any deferred refunds or recoveries for the trackers will be netted together. The Company will combine the refunds or deferrals from any of the annual compliance filings for the tracker mechanisms discussed above and will issue a refund to customers for a net refund or if a deferral remains, the remaining amount will be deferred and applied to any future year compliance refund until the next rate case. In this way, the reconciliation of

1		actual costs to the baseline amounts in the test year will be straightforward and
2		result in a single net number to be refunded to or collected from customers.
3		
4		IX. COMPLIANCE WITH PRIOR COMMISSION ORDERS
5		
6	Q.	WHAT TOPIC DO YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
7	Α.	The Completeness Checklist included in the Direct Testimony of Company
8		witness Amy A. Liberkowski as Exhibit(AAL-1), Schedule 2 documents
9		how our rate case filing includes information required by Rule or prior
10		Commission Orders and provides specific references to the testimony of
11		Company witnesses that addresses each requirement. In this section of my
12		testimony, I identify and provide information related to specific requirements
13		from prior Commission Orders that have not been addressed elsewhere in my
14		testimony.
15		
16		A. Relief and Recovery Docket Tracking
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENT FROM THE COMPANY'S COVID-19
18		RELIEF & RECOVERY DOCKET?
19	Α.	In response to the Commission's request for projects that could assist with
20		Minnesota's economic recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic, 19 the
21		Company proposed to accelerate certain Distribution, Transmission, and Gas
22		Operations projects and sought a Commission determination that acceleration
23		of these projects was appropriate. <sup>20</sup> The Commission concluded that the

<sup>19</sup> In the Matter of an Inquiry into Utility Investments that May Assist in Minnesota's Economic Recovery form the COVID-19 Pandemic, REPORT COVID-19 RELIEF & RECOVERY, Docket No. E,G-999/CI-20-492 (June 17, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> In the Matter of an Inquiry into Utility Investments that May Assist in Minnesota's Economic Recovery form the COVID-19 Pandemic, ORDER DETERMINING THAT PROPOSALS HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO BE

proposed projects had the potential to be consistent with the Commission's
request for proposals that could assist with recovery from the COVID-19
pandemic; that the Commission would determine project prudence in future
rate cases; and that the acceleration of these projects "would not be the sole
basis for any disapproval in the future."21 Further, the Commission required
the Company to "track investment spending for the acceleration of the
projects separately from base rates, with clear delineation between portions
that are included in base rates and those that are incremental to base rates."22

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10 Q. IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING RATE RECOVERY OF ANY ACCELERATED
11 PROJECTS IN THIS GAS RATE CASE?

12 A. Yes. As discussed by Company witness Berger, the Company is requesting 13 recovery of certain Isolation Valve and Copper Riser Replacement projects. In 14 total, the 2023 capital expenditure forecast includes \$0.4 million, and there are 15 no capital expenditures for these projects in the 2024 test year. Additional 16 support for the projects can be found in Company witness Berger's Direct 17 Testimony.

18

- Q. Has the Company included these projects in its cost recovery
   Requests in any other docket before the Commission?
- A. No. These programs were specifically tracked for Relief and Recovery purposes and are included in the cost of service in this rate case on that basis.

23

CONSISTENT WITH COVID-19 ECONOMIC RECOVERY, Docket No. E,G-999/CI-20-492 (March 12, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Id. at Order Point 1.

<sup>22</sup> Id. at Order Point. 2.

1	Q.	HAS	THE	COMPANY	IDENTIFIED	SPECIFIC	COSTS	ASSOCIATED	WITH
2		ACCE	LERAT	ING THESE P	ROJECTS?				

3 Because these are each projects that the Company otherwise intended to 4 complete, and simply have been accelerated as discussed by Company witness 5 Berger, the costs simply show up in the Company's rate request earlier than 6 they otherwise might have. Therefore, while the Company will recover the 7 costs of these projects earlier than it otherwise might have, customers are 8 receiving the benefits earlier, including efforts to make jobs available and 9 advance the work of Minnesota's Energy Utility Diversity Group as described 10 by Company witness Berger.

11

- 12 Q. Is the Company providing the Commission with information 13 regarding these projects in any other dockets?
- 14 A. Yes. Consistent with the Commission's March 12 and March 16, 2021 Orders 15 in Docket No. E,G-999/CI-20-492, the Company continues to track its 16 spending related to these COVID-19 Relief & Recovery projects and the 17 Company has been providing this information to the Commission as part of 18 its quarterly compliance filings in that docket.<sup>23</sup>

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> In the Matter of an Inquiry into Utility Investments that May Assist in Minnesota's Economic Recovery form the COVID-19 Pandemic, 2023 SECOND QUARTER REPORT COVID-19 RELIEF & RECOVERY, Docket No. 20-492 (July 31, 2023).

# B. Incentive Compensation Refunds

- 2 Q. What are the requirements related to incentive compensation
- 3 REFUNDS?
- 4 A. In Docket No. G002/GR-92-1186, the Commission required Xcel Energy to
- 5 refund all incentive compensation payments included in the test year revenue
- 6 requirement, but not paid.

7

- 8 Q. How is compliance with these requirements reflected in the
- 9 COMPANY'S RATE CASE REQUEST?
- 10 A. The Company's most recent annual report on its incentive compensation plan,
- filed on May 31, 2022 in Docket No. E,G002/M-22-254, reported that
- incentive plan payouts for 2021 (paid in March 2022), were above the level in
- base rates and no refund was required to gas customers. Our 2022 test year
- included the budgeted incentive compensation costs accrued in 2022 and
- payable in March 2023, after excluding certain costs (e.g., AIP over base salary
- 16 cap).
- 17 The 2024 test year includes the budgeted incentive compensation costs
- accrued in 2024 and payable in March 2025, after excluding certain costs (e.g.,
- AIP over base salary cap). On August 14, 2023, the Commission granted the
- 20 Company's request for an extension to file its annual incentive compensation
- 21 report for 2022 (paid in 2023) 30 days after the Commission's final
- Reconsideration Order in the Company's electric rate case in Docket No.
- 23 E002/GR-21-630. The Commission's final Reconsideration Order in that
- docket was issued on October 6, 2023, and the annual incentive compensation
- 25 report for 2022 will be filed by November 6, 2023. The Company will provide
- any updated information in Rebuttal Testimony relevant to incentive
- 27 compensation levels in this case.

1	Q.	DOES THE COMPANY PROPOSE ANY CHANGES TO THE AIP INCENTIVE
2		REFUND PROGRAM?
3	Α.	Yes. Once rates have been established at the conclusion of this rate
4		proceeding, we propose to eliminate the yearly AIP compliance filing
5		requirement and any associated reports regarding the AIP. The Company is
6		also proposing the elimination of the AIP refund. Company witness Deselich
7		discusses this proposal in his Direct Testimony.
8		
9		C. Recurring Compliance Reporting Requirements
10		1. Advantage Service (a/k/a HomeSmart)
11	Q.	Please describe the Company's compliance with requirements
12		RELATED TO HOMESMART.
13	Α.	In Docket No. E002/GR-91-1, the Company was directed to require NSP
14		Advantage Service (now branded as Xcel Energy HomeSmart) to: 1) pay a
15		return on the use of the Company's billing services asset; 2) compensate the
16		Company for its personnel's referral time; and 3) compensate the Company
17		for use of its mailing lists. The Company has complied with these
18		requirements.
19		
20		2. Tax Benefit Transfer Leases
21	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS
22		RELATED TO TAX BENEFIT TRANSFER LEASES.
23	Α.	In Docket No. G002/GR-97-1606, the Company was directed to treat Tax
24		Benefit Transfer (TBT) leases consistent with prior Commission approved
25		methodology. There are no TBTs included in the test year. Because this
26		provision has not been triggered in many years, barring any concerns

1		identified by the	ne Commission the Company will	discontinue thi	s reporting in
2		future rate case	S.		
3					
4			X. CONCLUSION		
5					
6	Q.	PLEASE SUMMA	RIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS T	O THE COMMISS	ION.
7	Α.	I recommend	that the Commission determine a	n overall 2024	retail revenue
8		requirement of	\$676.83 million and 2024 revenue	e deficiency of \$	\$59.03 million
9		for the Compa	ny's Minnesota jurisdictional gas	operation, deter	rmined by the
10		cost of service	for the 2024 test year. I recomm	end a revenue	deficiency for
11		the test year in	Table 10 as follows:		
12			Table 10		
13			2024 Revenue Reques		
14			Minnesota Jurisdictional (\$s in	·	
			Test Year	2024	
15			Net Deficiency	\$59.03	
16			Average % increase, incremental *	9.6%	
17					_
18		Lastly, I also r	ecommend the Commission grant	a 2024 interim	rate increase
19		of \$51.2 million	n for the Company's Minnesota jur	risdictional oper	ation.
20					
21	Q.	Does this con	NCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY	.5	
22	Α.	Yes, it does.			

Docket No. G002/GR-23-413 Exhibit\_\_\_(BCH-1), Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1

## Resume of Benjamin C. Halama

Manager of Revenue Analysis Revenue Requirements-North Xcel Energy Services Inc. 414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401

# **Current Responsibilities**

Since September 2018, I have worked as Manager of the Revenue Requirements—North department. In this position, I prepare and present cost of service studies, revenue requirement determinations, and jurisdictional annual reports for the electric and gas operations of Northern States Power Company to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, and the North Dakota Public Service Commission, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

# **Employment History**

Xcel Energy – Minneapolis, MN

- Manager of Revenue Requirements-North, September 2018 to Present
- Manager Utility Accounting, May 2015 to August 2018

# Target Corporation - Minneapolis, MN

- Manager of Inventory Accounting, 2014-2015
- Lead Analyst Financial Reporting, 2013-2014
- Supervisor Sales Accounting and Operations, 2011-2013

# Copeland Buhl and Company - Wayzata, MN

- Accounting Supervisor, 2007-2011
- Senior Accountant, 2004-2007
- Staff Accountant, 2002-2004

## Education

University of Wisconsin at Eau Claire, May 2002 Bachelor of Science in Accounting Northern States Power Company State of Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction Summary of Revenue Requirements Docket No. G002/GR-23-413 Exhibit\_\_\_\_(BCH-1), Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1

# SUMMARY OF REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

(\$000s)

<u>Line</u>	<u>Description</u>	2024 Test Year
1	Average Rate Base	\$1,267,863
2	Operating Income (Before AFUDC)	\$50,099
3	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction	\$2,677
4	Total Available for Return (Line 2 + Line 3 + Rounding)	\$52,776
5	Overall Rate of Return (Line 4 / Line 1)	4.16%
6	Required Rate of Return	7.48%
7	Operating Income Requirement (Line 1 x Line 6)	\$94,836
8	Income Deficiency (Line 7 - Line 4)	\$42,060
9	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.40335
10	Revenue Deficiency (Line 8 x Line 9)	\$59,026
11	Retail Related Revenue Under Present Rates	\$617,806
12	Percentage Increase Needed in Overall Revenue (Line 10 / Line 11)	9.55%

# COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY for 2024 TEST YEAR (\$000s)

Line		Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction
No.		2024 Test Year
1	Composite Income Tax Rate	2024 TEST TEAT
2	State Tax Rate	9.80%
3	Federal Statuatory Tax Rate	21.00%
4	Federal Effective Tax Rate	18.94%
5	Composite Tax Rate	28.74%
6	Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))	1.403351
7	( , ( Fare - m 2 mo))	100001
8	Weighted Cost of Capital	
9	Active Rates and Ratios Version	Proposed
10	Cost of Short Term Debt	5.01%
11	Cost of Long Term Debt	4.46%
12	Cost of Common Equity	10.20%
13	Ratio of Short Term Debt	0.63%
14	Ratio of Long Term Debt	46.87%
15	Ratio of Common Equity	52.50%
16	Weighted Cost of STD	0.03%
17	Weighted Cost of LTD	2.09%
18	Weighted Cost of Debt	2.12%
19	Weighted Cost of Equity	<u>5.36%</u>
20	Required Rate of Return	7.48%
21		
22	Rate Base	
23	Plant Investment	2,187,742
24	Depreciation Reserve	<u>785,328</u>
25	Net Utility Plant	1,402,415
26	CWIP	34,124
27		
28	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	214,540
29	DTA - NOL Average Balance	
30	DTA - Federal Tax Credit Average Balance	<del>-</del>
31	Total Accum Deferred Taxes	214,540
32		
33	Cash Working Capital	(9,998)
34	Materials and Supplies	2,318
35	Fuel Inventory	43,755
36	Non-plant Assets and Liabilities	7,968
37	Customer Advances	(195)
38	Customer Deposits	(153)
39	Prepaids and Other	2,168
40	Regulatory Amortizations	<u>-</u>
41	Total Other Rate Base Items	45,864
42	Taral Dara D	4.00= 0.00
43	Total Rate Base	1,267,863
44	Omonotino Possono	
45	Operating Revenues  Revenues	Z40 20 1
46 47	Retail Interdeportmental	610,396
47	Interdepartmental Other Operating Roy, Non Retail	7,410
48	Other Operating Rev - Non-Retail Total Operating Poyonyes	<u>4,230</u>
49	Total Operating Revenues	622,037
50		

51 **Expenses** 

51	Expenses	
52	Operating Expenses:	
53	Purchased Gas	350,434
54	Gas Production & Storage	7,927
55	Gas Transmission	623
56	Gas Distribution	39,553
57	Customer Accounting	12,887
58	Customer Service & Information	29,720
59	Sales, Econ Dvlp & Other	50
60	Administrative & General	<u>27,550</u>
61	Total Operating Expenses	468,744
62		
63	Depreciation	73,521
64	Amortization	926
65		
66	Taxes:	
67	Property Taxes	18,633
68	ITC Amortization	(106)
69	Deferred Taxes	5,788
70	Deferred Taxes - NOL	
71	Less State Tax Credits deferred	
72	Less Federal Tax Credits deferred	
73	Deferred Income Tax & ITC	5,681
74	Payroll & Other Taxes	3,427
75	Total Taxes Other Than Income	27,741
76		
77	<u>Income Before Taxes</u>	
78	Total Operating Revenues	622,037
79	less: Total Operating Expenses	468,744
80	Book Depreciation	73,521
81	Amortization	926
82	Taxes Other than Income	<u>27,741</u>
83	Total Before Tax Book Income	51,105
84	75 A 140-1	
85	Tax Additions	72.524
86	Book Depreciation	73,521
87	Deferred Income Taxes and ITC	5,681
88	Nuclear Fuel Burn (ex. D&D)	
89	Nuclear Outage Accounting Avoided Tax Interest	1 382
90 91	Other Book Additions	1,382
92	Total Tax Additions	80,584
93	Total Tax Additions	00,504
94	Tax Deductions	
95	Total Rate Base	1,267,863
96	Weighted Cost of Debt	2.12%
97	Debt Interest Expense	26,879
98	Nuclear Outage Accounting	20,077
99	Tax Depreciation and Removals	103,482
100	NOL Utilized / (Generated)	- · · · · · -
101	Other Tax / Book Timing Differences	(3,069)
102	Total Tax Deductions	127,292
103		•

105         State Income Ian Rate         9,897/6           106         Istate Income Ian Rate         9,897/6           107         State Income Ian Rate         4311           108         Less State Tax Credits applied         533           109         Total State Income Taxes         378           110         Federal Taxes         4019           111         Federal Taxes         4,019           112         Federal Income Tax Rate         21,00%           115         Federal Income Tax Rate         21,00%           116         Less Federal Tax before Credits         844           12         Federal Income Taxes         628           120         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           181         Total Taxes         221,00%           121         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Taxes Other than Income         28,747           122         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           123         Total Operating Revenues         52,757           124         AFDC Debt         52,776           125         Total Expenses         52,776<	104	State Taxes	
106         State Iraxe shore Credits         4.31           107         State Taxe Credits         4.31           108         Less State Tax Credits applied         5.33           109         Total State Income Taxes         3.78           110         Federal Taxes         4.00           112         Federal Taxes         4.00           113         Federal Taxable Income         4.00           115         Federal Taxable Income         4.00           116         Federal Taxable Income         4.00           117         Total Federal Income Taxes         21.00%           118         Federal Tax Scredits         2.00           119         Total Taxes         227.74           110         Total Taxes         227.74           121         Total Taxes         227.74           122         Total Taxes         228.74           123         Total Deperating Revenues         622.037           124         Total Operating Revenues         52.77           125         ATDC Debt         9.54           126         AFDC Equity         9.54           127         ATDC Operating Income         52.776           128         Act Operating Inc			4,397
108         Less State Tax Credits applied         (53)           109         Total State Income Taxes         378           110         Federal Taxes         4,019           111         Federal Sec 199 Production Deduction         4,019           112         Federal Taxable Income Tax Rate         21,00%           115         Federal Tax before Credits         344           116         Less Federal Tax Credits         628           117         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           118         Total Taxes         227,741           120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Taxes         28,747           122         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Cederal and State Income Taxes         1,006           124         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Depti         954           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           130         Total Rate Base         1,267,863	106	State Income Tax Rate	ŕ
100         Federal Taxes           112         Federal Taxes           113         Federal Taxes 199 Production Deduction           114         Federal Tax able Income         4,019           115         Federal Tax before Credits         844           116         Less Iederal Tax Credits         221,00%           117         Total Federal Income Taxes         622           118         Total Taxes         27,741           119         Total Taxes         1,006           122         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           123         Total Taxes         1,006           124         Total Taxes Other than Income Taxes         1,006           125         Total Taxes Other than Income         26,774           126         Total Taxes         1,006           127         Total Taxes         1,006           128         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           129         AFDC Debt         954           48 PDC Eduity         1,723           129         AFDC Debt         954           130         Total Operating Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,106	107	State Taxes before Credits	431
Federal Taxes	108	Less State Tax Credits applied	<u>(53)</u>
111         Federal Sec 199 Production Deduction           12         Federal Iraxable Income         4,019           13         Federal Iraxable Income         4,019           14         Federal Irax Decore Credits         844           15         Federal Tax Decore Credits         628           16         Less Federal Tax Credits         628           17         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           18         Total Taxes         27,741           20         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           21         Total Taxes         1,006           22         Total Taxes         1,006           23         Total Taxes         28,77           24         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           25         Total Expenses         571,938           26         AFDC Debt         954           28         AFDC Equity         1,723           29         AFDC Equity         1,723           31         Total Operating Income         52,776           32         Rate of Return (ROR)         4,16%           33         Total Operating Income / Rate Base (Rate Base & Veighted Cost of Debt)         26,268           40	109		
111         Federal Taxable Income         4,010           115         Federal Taxable Income         21,00%           116         Federal Tax before Credits         844           116         Less Federal Tax Credits         2216           117         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           118         Total Taxes         27,741           120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Taxes Other than Income         28,747           122         Total Taxes Other than Income         28,747           123         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           124         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           127         AFDC Debt         954           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         AFDC Equity         1,725           130         Total Operating Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income / Rate Base         126,786           131         Total Operating Income / Rate Base         126,786           131         Total Operating Income / Rate Base         52,776           132         Total Exercise Base * Keighted Cost of Debty         26,879           140	110		
113         Federal Taxable Income         4,019           114         Federal Tax before Credits         844           115         Federal Tax before Credits         628           116         Lass Federal Tax Credits         628           118         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           118         Total Taxes         27,741           120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Taxes         1,006           122         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Taxes         28,747           124         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Equity         1,273           128         AFDC Equity         52,776           130         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           134         Total Departing Income         52,776           135         Return (ROR)         2,27,863           136         Return (ROR)         2,27,863           137         Return (Rore Patic Base * Weighted	111	Federal Taxes	
114         Federal Tax before Credits         844           115         Federal Tax before Credits         844           116         Less Federal Tax Credits         (216)           117         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           118         Total Taxes           120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Taxes Other than Income         28,747           122         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Taxes         28,747           124         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Debt         954           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           130         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           134         Total Rate Base         4,16%           135         Return on Equity (ROE)         2,576           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         2,576           137         Return on Equity (Round on Equity Round on Equi	112	Federal Sec 199 Production Deduction	
115         Federal Tax before Credits         216           116         Less Federal Tax Credits         2216           117         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           118         Total Taxes         27,741           120         Total Taxes Ofter than Income         27,741           121         Total Taxes         1,006           122         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           123         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Equity         1,723           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           130         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income         52,776           132         Rate of Return (ROR)         1,267,863           133         Total Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,16%           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         Return on Equity (ROE)         26,879           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         26,879           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         3,89%           148         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836	113	Federal Taxable Income	4,019
116         Less Federal Tax Credits         216           117         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           118         Total Taxes           120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Federal and State Income Taxes         1,006           122         Total Taxes         622,037           123         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Debt         954           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           130         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         Rot (Operating Income         52,776           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         2           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         2           138         Net Operating Income / Rate Base)         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         26,879           140         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           141         Revenue Deficiency         3,897	114	Federal Income Tax Rate	<u>21.00%</u>
117         Total Federal Income Taxes         628           118         Total Taxes           120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Federal and State Income Taxes         1,006           122         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         95           127         AFDC Equity         1,723           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Race of Return (ROR)         25,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,10%           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         25,776           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,528           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)	115	Federal Tax before Credits	844
118         Total Taxes           120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Federal and State Income Taxes         1,006           122         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           127         AFDC Debt         954           128         AFDC Equity         1,223           129         Net Income         52,776           130         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Saxe         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         1,267,863           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           138         Net Operating Income / Rate Base & Weighted Cost of Debt)         26,879           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3,896           143         Revenue Deficiency         42	116	Less Federal Tax Credits	(216)
119         Total Taxes           120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Tederal and State Income Taxes         1,006           122         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income         52,776           132         Rate of Return (ROR)         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           138         Return on Equity (ROE)         65,679           149         Equity Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         66,5628           140         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         65,6528           141         Required Operating Income         52,776	117	Total Federal Income Taxes	628
120         Total Taxes Other than Income         27,741           121         Total Federal and State Income Taxes         1,006           122         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Dobt         95           127         AFDC Equity         95           130         AFDC Equity         1,723           131         Rate of Return (ROR)         2           132         Total Operating Income         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         Return on Equity (ROE)         4.16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         2           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         2           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         Roe (earnings for Common / Equi	118		
121         Total Taxes         28,747           123         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           124         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           130         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Operating Income         52,776           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         26,879           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         26,879           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,877           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,528           142         ROE (carnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           144         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           145         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1-Composite Tax Rate))         1,40351	119	Total Taxes	
122         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           124         Total Expenses         571,938           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           130         Total Operating Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           136         Net Operating Income         52,776           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         26,879           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         3.89%           142         ROE (carnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           144         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           145 <td< td=""><td>120</td><td>Total Taxes Other than Income</td><td>27,741</td></td<>	120	Total Taxes Other than Income	27,741
123         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Equity         1,723           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Coperating Income         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         20,879           148         Equity Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         26,879           149         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           140         Revenue Deficiency         3,89%           141         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           143         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           144         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))         1,403,51           145         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026 <t< td=""><td>121</td><td>Total Federal and State Income Taxes</td><td>1,006</td></t<>	121	Total Federal and State Income Taxes	1,006
124         Total Operating Revenues         622,037           125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Equity         1,723           138         AFDC Equity         1,723           139         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,16%           136         Net Operating Income / Rate Base)         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (carnings for Common / Equity)         3,89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           144         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           145         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           146         Net Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836	122	Total Taxes	28,747
125         Total Expenses         571,938           126         AFDC Debt         954           127         AFDC Equity         1,723           128         AFDC Equity         52,776           130         Net Income         52,776           131         Total Operating Income         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         25,876           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         26,879           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         3,89%           142         Revenue Deficiency         3,89%           143         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94	123		
126         AFDC Debt         954           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129	124	Total Operating Revenues	622,037
127         AFDC Debt         954           128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Income         52,776           131         Income         52,776           132         Rate of Return (ROR)         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,16%           138         Net Operating Income (Rate Base)         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (carnings for Common / Equity)         3,89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           144         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1-Composite Tax Rate))         1,403351           149         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           140         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Reve	125	Total Expenses	571,938
128         AFDC Equity         1,723           129         Net Income         52,776           131         Rate of Return (ROR)         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         52,776           144         Revenue Deficiency         94,836           145         Net Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1-Composite Tax Rate))         1,40351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151	126		
129         Net Income         52,776           131         State of Return (ROR)         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4,16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base *Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (carnings for Common / Equity)         3,89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         94,836           144         Revenue Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           145         Net Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1-Composite Tax Rate))         1,40351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026	127		954
130         Net Income         52,776           131         Rate of Return (ROR)         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         52,776           144         Revenue Deficiency         52,776           145         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1-Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         617,806	128	AFDC Equity	1,723
131         Rate of Return (ROR)           132         Rotal Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           136         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         42,060           144         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           145         Required Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         51,806           152         Total Retail Revenues         617,806	120		
132         Rate of Return (ROR)         52,776           133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Revenue Deficiency           144         Revenue Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1-Composite Tax Rate))         1,403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         517,806           152         Total Retail Revenues         617,806           153         Revenue Deficiency         59,026			
133         Total Operating Income         52,776           134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         52,776           144         Revenue Deficiency         94,836           146         Net Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements           152         Total Retail Revenues         617,806           154         Revenue Deficiency         59,026	130	Net Income	52,776
134         Total Rate Base         1,267,863           135         ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)         4.16%           136         ***           137         Return on Equity (ROE)         ***           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         **           144         Revenue Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           145         Required Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           149         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         617,806           152         Revenue Deficiency         59,026	130 131		52,776
135       ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)       4.16%         136       Return on Equity (ROE)       52,776         138       Net Operating Income       52,776         139       Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)       (26,879)         140       Earnings Available for Common       25,897         141       Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)       665,628         142       ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)       3.89%         143       Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)       94,836         146       Net Operating Income       52,776         147       Operating Income Deficiency       42,060         148       Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))       1.403351         149       Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)       59,026         151       Total Revenue Requirements       617,806         152       Revenue Deficiency       59,026	130 131 132	Rate of Return (ROR)	
136         Return on Equity (ROE)           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Required Operating Income         94,836           144         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         617,806           152         Revenue Deficiency         59,026	130 131 132 133	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income	52,776
137         Return on Equity (ROE)         52,776           138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Required Deficiency         94,836           144         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1-Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         617,806           152         Revenue Deficiency         59,026	130 131 132 133 134	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base	52,776 1,267,863
138         Net Operating Income         52,776           139         Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)         (26,879)           140         Earnings Available for Common         25,897           141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         Revenue Deficiency         \$\$           144         Revenue Deficiency         \$\$           145         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         617,806           152         Revenue Deficiency         59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base	52,776 1,267,863
139       Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)       (26,879)         140       Earnings Available for Common       25,897         141       Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)       665,628         142       ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)       3.89%         143       Revenue Deficiency       \$\$         145       Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)       94,836         146       Net Operating Income       52,776         147       Operating Income Deficiency       42,060         148       Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))       1.403351         150       Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)       59,026         151       Total Revenue Requirements       617,806         152       Revenue Deficiency       59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)	52,776 1,267,863
140       Earnings Available for Common       25,897         141       Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)       665,628         142       ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)       3.89%         143       144       Revenue Deficiency         145       Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)       94,836         146       Net Operating Income       52,776         147       Operating Income Deficiency       42,060         148       Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))       1.403351         150       Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)       59,026         151       Total Revenue Requirements       617,806         153       Total Retail Revenues       617,806         154       Revenue Deficiency       59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base) Return on Equity (ROE)	52,776 <u>1,267,863</u> 4.16%
141         Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)         665,628           142         ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)         3.89%           143         144         Revenue Deficiency           145         Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)         94,836           146         Net Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         617,806           153         Total Retail Revenues         617,806           154         Revenue Deficiency         59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%
142 ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)       3.89%         143       Revenue Deficiency         144       Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)       94,836         146       Net Operating Income       52,776         147       Operating Income Deficiency       42,060         148       Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))       1.403351         150       Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)       59,026         151       Total Revenue Requirements         153       Total Retail Revenues       617,806         154       Revenue Deficiency       59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt)	52,776 1,267,863 4.16% 52,776 (26,879)
143         Revenue Deficiency         145       Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)       94,836         146       Net Operating Income       52,776         147       Operating Income Deficiency       42,060         148       Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))       1.403351         150       Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)       59,026         151       Total Revenue Requirements       617,806         153       Total Retail Revenues       617,806         154       Revenue Deficiency       59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common	52,776 1,267,863 4.16% 52,776 (26,879) 25,897
144Revenue Deficiency145Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)94,836146Net Operating Income52,776147Operating Income Deficiency42,060148Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))1.403351150Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)59,026151Total Revenue Requirements153Total Retail Revenues617,806154Revenue Deficiency59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628
145Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)94,836146Net Operating Income52,776147Operating Income Deficiency42,060148149Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))1.403351150Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)59,026151152Total Revenue Requirements153Total Retail Revenues617,806154Revenue Deficiency59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio)	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628
146         Net Operating Income         52,776           147         Operating Income Deficiency         42,060           148         Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))         1.403351           150         Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)         59,026           151         Total Revenue Requirements         617,806           153         Total Retail Revenues         617,806           154         Revenue Deficiency         59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628
147Operating Income Deficiency42,060148Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))1.403351150Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)59,026151Total Revenue Requirements153Total Retail Revenues617,806154Revenue Deficiency59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%
148       Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))       1.403351         150       Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)       59,026         151       Total Revenue Requirements         153       Total Retail Revenues       617,806         154       Revenue Deficiency       59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return)	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%
149Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))1.403351150Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)59,026151Total Revenue Requirements617,806153Total Retail Revenues617,806154Revenue Deficiency59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return) Net Operating Income	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%
Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)  59,026  Total Revenue Requirements  Total Retail Revenues  617,806  Revenue Deficiency  59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return) Net Operating Income	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%
151 152 Total Revenue Requirements 153 Total Retail Revenues 617,806 154 Revenue Deficiency 59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return) Net Operating Income Operating Income Deficiency	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%  94,836 52,776 42,060
152Total Revenue Requirements153Total Retail Revenues617,806154Revenue Deficiency59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return) Net Operating Income Operating Income Deficiency Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%  94,836 52,776 42,060  1.403351
153Total Retail Revenues617,806154Revenue Deficiency59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return) Net Operating Income Operating Income Deficiency Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate))	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%  94,836 52,776 42,060  1.403351
154 Revenue Deficiency 59,026	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return) Net Operating Income Operating Income Deficiency Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate)) Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%  94,836 52,776 42,060  1.403351
·	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return) Net Operating Income Operating Income Deficiency Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate)) Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%  94,836 52,776 42,060  1.403351 59,026
	130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153	Rate of Return (ROR) Total Operating Income Total Rate Base ROR (Operating Income / Rate Base)  Return on Equity (ROE) Net Operating Income Debt Interest (Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt) Earnings Available for Common Equity Rate Base (Rate Base * Equity Ratio) ROE (earnings for Common / Equity)  Revenue Deficiency Required Operating Income (Rate Base * Required Return) Net Operating Income Operating Income Deficiency Revenue Conversion Factor (1/(1Composite Tax Rate)) Revenue Deficiency (Income Deficiency * Conversion Factor)  Total Revenue Requirements Total Retail Revenues	52,776 1,267,863 4.16%  52,776 (26,879) 25,897 665,628 3.89%  94,836 52,776 42,060  1.403351 59,026

# Cash Working Capital Calculation

(\$000s)

(#000	,			Minnesota Ga	as Jurisdiction
Line	Summary Cash Working Capital	7 [	Lead/Lag	2024 To	est Year
No.	Summary Cash Working Capital	[	Days	Dollars	Dollar x Days
1	Fuel Expenses	_			-
2	Coal and Rail Transport		-	-	-
3	Gas for Generation		37.67	350,434	13,200,857
4	Oil		-		
5	Nuclear and EOL				
6	Subtotal Fuel Expenses			350,434	13,200,857
7	Purchased Power				
8	Purchases		-		
9	Interchange		- -		
10	SubTotal Purchased Power		_		
11	Labor and Related				
12	Regular Payroll		12.11	39,204	474,763
13	Incentive		251.96	428	107,804
14	Pension and Benefits		37.29	8,817	328,779
15	SubTotal Labor and Related			48,449	911,346
16	All Other Operating Expenses		30.71	71,300	2,189,625
17	Property taxes		354.81	18,637	6,612,489
18	Employer's Payroll Taxes		28.07	3,427	96,196
19	Gross Earnings Tax		59.88	12,571	752,755
20	Federal Income Tax		37.25	269	10,009
21	State Income Tax		28.75	192	5,526
22	State Sales Tax Customer Billings		35.25	16,213	571,523
23	Total Expenses	Α	_	521,492	24,350,325
24	Net Annual Expense			47	66,713
25	Revenues				
26	Retail Revenue		40.35	613,782	24,766,094
27	Late Payment		-	2,113	
28	Interdepartmental		-	7,410	
29	Misc Services		40.35	1,499	60,497
30	Rentals		-	618	
31	Interchange		-		
32	Retail Rev Lag Days		40.35	(0)	(11)
33	MISO		-		
34	Wholesale Lag Days		- <u>-</u>		
35	Total Revenues	B	_	625,422	24,826,580
36	Net Annual Amount			40	68,018
37	Expense/Revenue Factor	C = A/B			83.4%
38	Allocated Revenue Amount	D = B * C			<u>56,715</u>
39	Net Cash Working Capital	E = D - A			(9,998)

#### LABELING OF FINANCIAL SOURCES

#### Xcel Energy or XEI

The entire enterprise – XES, NSPM, NSPW, SPS, PSCo, and affiliate companies.

#### XES: Xcel Energy Services

Xcel Energy's service company that provides services across all Xcel Energy affiliate companies.

## NSPM (Total Company)

Northern States Power Company-Minnesota providing service to electric and gas customers in Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota.

#### NSPW (Total Company)

Northern States Power Company-Wisconsin providing service to electric and gas customers in Wisconsin and Michigan.

#### NSP System

The combined NSPM and NSPW gas distribution system.

#### **NSPM** Gas

Northern States Power Company, including the portion allocated or direct assigned to the gas utility.

#### State of Minnesota

Items physically located in the State of Minnesota, such as distribution facilities or property taxes assessed by the State.

## State of Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction

Amounts direct assigned or allocated to the gas utility and to the State of Minnesota.

In all rate case filing documents, including witness Direct Testimony and Schedules, the Company has made its best efforts to accurately label or otherwise identify all financial information as appropriate for the gas jurisdiction.

Northern States Power Company State of Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction Rate Case Drivers Docket No. G002/GR-23-413 Exhibit\_\_\_(BCH-1), Schedule 6 Page 1 of 1

# **DETAILED CASE DRIVERS**

Test Year Drivers - Revenue Requirements - Incremental Amounts in millions

	Increase (Decrease) 2024 TY to 2022 TY
Capital Related	
Distribution	25.3
Gas Production and Storage	9.0
Intangible	6.5
General	4.4
Transmission	1.1
Other Rate Base	2.2
ROE Change	6.0
TOTAL Capital Related	54.4
Amortizations	1.2
Taxes	
Current and Deferred Income Taxes	6.9
Property Tax	0.7
Payroll Tax	0.6
TOTAL Taxes	8.2
Operating Expense	
Gas Production and Storage	2.3
MGP	0.0
Transmission	(1.8)
Distribution	1.2
Customer Accounting / Info / Service	0.0
A&G	7.0
TOTAL O&M	8.7
Other Margin Impacts	
Sales Change	(0.3)
GUIC Rider Revenue	(13.1)
Rider Revenue and Other Revenue	(0.0)
TOTAL Other Margin Impacts	(13.5)
TOTAL Net Incremental Deficiency	59.0

# COMPARISON OF DETAILED RATE BASE COMPONENTS $(\$000\mathrm{s})$

Line	<u>Description</u>	General Rate Case Filing Docket No. E002/GR-21-678 Final Rates	General Rate Case Filing Docket No. E002/GR-23-413 <u>Test Year</u>	<u>Change</u>
	Gas Plant as Booked	(A)	(B)	(C) = (B) - (A)
1	Gas Manufactured Plant	\$9,619	\$75,274	\$65,654
2	Gas Storage	\$66,050	94,123	28,073
3	Gas Transmission	\$121,439	134,424	12,985
4	Gas Distribution	\$1,363,661	1,611,639	247,977
5	General	\$94,564	148,766	54,202
6	Common	\$90,273	123,517	33,243
7	TOTAL Utility Plant in Service	\$1,745,607	\$2,187,742	\$442,136
8				
9	Reserve for Depreciation			
10	Gas Manufactured Plant	\$889	\$19,856	\$18,966
11	Gas Storage	\$43,072	45,901	2,829
12	Gas Transmission	\$28,585	32,868	4,282
13	Gas Distribution	\$523,008	565,353	42,344
14	General	\$44,102	59,672	15,570
15	Common	\$42,523	61,678	19,156
16 17	TOTAL Reserve for Depreciation	\$682,180	\$785,328	\$103,148
18	Net Utility Plant in Service			
19	Gas Manufactured Plant	\$8,730	\$55,418	\$46,688
20	Gas Storage	22,978	\$48,223	\$25,244
21	Gas Transmission	92,853	101,556	8,703
22	Gas Distribution	840,653	1,046,286	205,633
23	General	50,462	89,094	38,632
24	Common	47,751	61,838	14,088
25 26	Net Utility Plant in Service	\$1,063,427	\$1,402,415	\$338,988
27 28	Utility Plant Held for Future Use	\$0	\$0	\$0
29 30	Construction Work in Progress	\$54,299	\$34,124	(\$20,175)
31 32	Less: Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	\$201,593	\$214,540	\$12,947
33	Other Rate Base Items:			
34	Cash Working Capital	(\$13,607)	(\$9,998)	\$3,609
35	Materials and Supplies	\$1,249	\$2,318	<b>\$1,</b> 070
36	Fuel Inventory	\$26,079	43,755	17,677
37	Non-Plant Assets & Liabilities	(\$4,170)	7,968	12,139
38	Customer Advances	(\$117)	(195)	(78)
39	Interest on Customer Deposits	(\$289)	(153)	136
40	Prepaids and Other	\$2,485	2,168	(317)
41	Regulatory Amortizations	\$0	0	0
42 43	Total Other Rate Base Items	\$11,629	\$45,864	\$34,235
44	Total Average Rate Base	\$927,761	\$1,267,863	\$340,102

# Comparison of Detailed Income Statement Components

2022 Final Compliance versus 2024 Test Year (\$000s)

Line	<u>Description</u>	General Rate Case Filing Docket No. G002/GR-21-678 Final Rates	General Rate Case Filing Docket No. G002/GR-23-413 Test Year	Change
		(A)	(B)	(C) = (B) - (A)
	Operating Revenues			
1	Retail	\$794,835	\$610,396	(\$184,439)
3	Interdepartmental	6,235	7,410	1,175
4	Other Operating	5,360	4,230	(1,130)
5	Gross Earnings Tax	0	0	0
6	<b>Total Operating Revenues</b>	\$806,430	\$622,037	(\$184,394)
	Expenses			
	Operating Expenses:			
7	Fuel	\$558,249	\$350,434	(\$207,815)
8	Power Production	5,659	7,927	2,268
9	Transmission	2,419	623	(1,797)
10	Distribution	38,359	39,553	1,194
11	Customer Accounting	12,789	12,887	98
12	Customer Service & Information	19,873	29,720	9,847
13	Sales, Econ Dvlp & Other	34	50	16
14	Administrative & General	20,564	27,550	6,986
15	Total Operating Expenses	\$657,946	\$468,744	(\$189,203)
16	Depreciation	\$51,953	\$73,521	\$21,568
17	Amortizations	(\$311)	\$926	\$1,237
	Taxes:			
18	Property	\$17,958	\$18,633	\$675
19	Gross Earnings	0	0	0
20	Deferred Income Tax & ITC	2,652	5,681	3,029
21	Federal & State Income Tax	12,365	1,006	(11,359)
22	Payroll & Other	2,843	3,427	584
23	Total Taxes	\$35,817	\$28,747	(\$7,070)
24	Total Expenses	\$745,406	\$571,938	(\$173,468)
25	AFUDC	\$3,641	\$2,677	(\$964)
26	<b>Total Operating Income</b>	\$64,665	\$52,776	(\$11,889)

Note: Revenues reflect calendar month sales.

(\$17,170)

\$1,267,863

\$1,285,033

## Rate Base, CWIP and ADIT Summary

Detailed Rate Base Components (\$000s)

**Proposed Test Year 2024 Total Utility** Minnesota Jurisdiction \* Line No. Description **Unadjusted Adjustments** <u>Adjusted</u> **Unadjusted Adjustments Adjusted** (A) (B) (C) (D) (E) (F) (A) + (B)(D) + (E)Gas Plant as Booked 1 Production \$86,718 \$0 \$86,718 \$75,274 \$0 \$75,274 2 108,434 94,123 94,123 Storage 0 108,434 0 3 **Transmission** 144,750 (6,320)138,430 140,744 (6,320)134,424 4 (15,282)Distribution 1,841,105 (15,282)1,825,823 1,626,921 1,611,639 5 General 168,375 168,375 148,766 148,766 0 0 6 139,797 139,797 Common 123,517 123,517 (\$21,602) (\$21,602)7 **TOTAL Utility Plant in Service** \$2,489,179 \$2,467,577 \$2,209,344 \$2,187,742 Reserve for Depreciation 8 Production \$22,875 \$0 \$22,875 \$19,856 \$0 \$19,856 9 52,880 45,901 45,901 Storage 0 52,880 0 10 34,848 33,030 32,868 Transmission (163)34,686 (163)11 Distribution 630,097 2,037 632,135 563,315 2,037 565,353 12 General 68,965 (1,427)67,538 60,933 (1,261)59,672 13 Common 69,808 69,808 61,678 61,678 \$879,920 \$785,328 14 **TOTAL Reserve for Depreciation** \$879,473 \$447 \$784,714 \$613 Net Utility Plant in Service \$63.844 15 Production \$0 \$63,844 \$55,418 \$0 \$55,418 16 Storage 55,554 0 55,554 48,223 0 48,223 101,556 17 **Transmission** 109,902 (6,157)103,744 107,713 (6,157)18 Distribution 1,211,008 (17,319)1,193,689 1,063,605 (17,319)1,046,286 19 General 99,410 1,427 100,837 87,833 1,261 89,094 20 Common 69,989 69,989 61,838 61,838 21 Net Utility Plant in Service \$1,609,706 (\$22,049)\$1,587,657 \$1,424,630 (\$22,215)\$1,402,415 22 Utility Plant Held for Future Use \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 23 Construction Work in Progress \$38,717 \$0 \$38,717 \$34,124 \$0 \$34,124 Less: Accumulated Deferred Income 24 \$238,680 (\$882)\$237,797 \$215,469 (\$929)\$214,540 25 Cash Working Capital (\$15,137) \$4,193 (\$10,945)(\$14,114)\$4,116 (\$9,998)Other Rate Base Items: Materials and Supplies 26 \$2,624 \$0 \$2,624 \$2,318 \$0 \$2,318 27 Gas In Storage 49,763 0 49,763 43,755 43,755 0 28 Non-Plant Assets & Liabilities 9,017 0 7,968 7,968 9,017 0 29 (0)**Customer Advances** (1,755)0 (195)(1,755)(195)30 **Customer Deposits** (173)0 (173)(153)0 (153)31 **Prepayments** 2,455 0 2,455 2,168 0 2,168 32 **Regulatory Amortizations** 985 985 0 0 33 Total Other Rate Base Items \$61,930 \$985 \$62,916 \$55,862 \$0 \$55,862

(\$15,989)

\$1,440,548

\$1,456,537

**Total Average Rate Base** 

<sup>(\*)</sup> See Volume 3, Rate Base Section, Schedule E for allocation factors.

# Rate Base, CWIP and ADIT Summary

Test Year Ending December 31, 2024 (\$000s)

		Proposed Test Year 2024										
			Total Utility		Minnesota Jurisdiction *							
Line No. Description		<u>Unadjusted</u>	Adjustments	Adjusted	UnadjustedAdjustments Adjusted							
	<del></del>	(A)	(B)	(C) (A) + (B)	(D)	(E)	(F) (D) + (E)					
	Construction Work in Progress			. , . ,			. , . ,					
1	Production	\$6,516	\$0	\$6,516	\$5,656	\$0	\$5,656					
2	Storage	13,477	0	13,477	11,699	0	11,699					
3	Transmission	872	0	872	872	0	872					
4	Distribution	5,920	0	5,920	5,356	0	5,356					
5	General	2,291	0	2,291	2,024	0	2,024					
6	Common	9,642	<u>0</u>	9,642	8,519	<u>0</u>	8,519					
7	TOTAL Construction Work In Progress	\$38,717	\$0	\$38,717	\$34,124	\$0	\$34,124					

<sup>(\*)</sup> See Volume 3, Rate Base Section, Schedule E for allocation factors.

Docket No. G002/GR-23-413 Exhibit\_\_\_(BCH-1), Schedule 9 Page 3 of 3

(\$929) \$214,540

\$215,469

# Rate Base, CWIP and ADIT Summary

Test Year Ending December 31, 2024 (\$000s)

		Proposed Test Year 2024										
1 !		т	otal Utility		Minnesota Jurisdiction *							
Line <u>No.</u> <u>Description</u>		Unadjusted Adjustments		<u>Adjusted</u>	<u>Unadjusted Ac</u>	<u>Adjusted</u>						
		(A)	(B)	(C) (A) + (B)	(D)	(E)	(F) (D) + (E)					
	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes											
1	Production	(\$285)	\$1	(\$284)	(\$248)	\$2	(\$247)					
2	Storage	2,007	3	2,010	1,741	4	1,745					
3	Transmission	21,479	(582)	20,897	20,858	(581)	20,278					
4	Distribution	192,480	(760)	191,720	172,873	(762)	172,111					
5	General	12,886	453	13,340	11,381	406	11,786					
6	Common	8,847	1	8,848	7,816	2	7,818					
7	Non-Plant Related	1,266	0 _	1,266	1,048	0 _	1,048					

(\$882) \$237,797

8 TOTAL Accum Deferred Income Taxes \$238,680

<sup>(\*)</sup> See Volume 3, Rate Base Section, Schedule E for allocation factors.

Northern States Power Company State of Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction 2024 Rate Base Bridge Schedule

2024 Rate Base Adjustments (\$000s)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
			Bridge - Ur	nadjusted			Adjustments		Rider Removals	Secondary	Calculations	
Line		ADIT Prorate for	Cash Working		Total	Black Dog	Depreciation	New Business	GUIC Rider	ADIT Prorate for	Cash Working	1 1
No.	NSPM - 11 Bridge by Report Label	IRS	Capital	Base	Unadjusted	Pipeline	Study	CIAC	Removal	IRS	Capital	Total
1						WP-A14	WP-A15	WP-A20	WP-A23	WP-A24	WP-A25	
2	Plant as booked											
3	Gas Manufactured Plant			75,274	75,274							75,274
4	Gas Storage			94,123	94,123							94,123
5	Gas Transmission			140,744	140,744	(4,632)			(1,688)			134,424
6	Gas Distribution			1,626,921	1,626,921			(29)	(15,253)			1,611,639
7	General			148,766	148,766							148,766
8	Common			123,517	123,517							123,517
9	Total Utility Plant in Service			2,209,344	2,209,344	(4,632)		(29)	(16,942)			2,187,742
10												
11	Reserve for Depreciation											
12	Gas Manufactured Plant			19,856	19,856							19,856
13	Gas Storage			45,901	45,901							45,901
14	Gas Transmission			33,030	33,030	(423)	108		153			32,868
15	Gas Distribution			563,315	563,315		1,231	(1)	807			565,353
16	General			60,933	60,933		(1,261)					59,672
17	Common			61,678	61,678							61,678
18	Total Reserve for Depreciation			784,714	784,714	(423)	78	(1)	960			785,328
19												
20	Net Utility Plant											
21	Gas Manufactured Plant			55,418	55,418							55,418
22	Gas Storage			48,223	48,223							48,223
23	Gas Transmission			107,713	107,713	(4,208)	(108)		(1,841)			101,556
24	Gas Distribution			1,063,605	1,063,605		(1,231)	(27)	(16,061)			1,046,286
25	General			87,833	87,833		1,261					89,094
26	Common			61,838	61,838							61,838
27	Net Utility Plant in Service	•		1,424,630	1,424,630	(4,208)	(78)	(27)	(17,902)			1,402,415
28												
29	Utility Plant Held for Future Use											
30												
31	Construction Work in Progress			34,124	34,124							34,124
32												
33	Less: Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	(252)		215,721	215,469	(490)	(9)	(0)	(468)	37		214,540
34												
35	Other Rate Base Items											
36	Cash Working Capital		(14,114)		(14,114)						4,116	(9,998)
37	Materials and Supplies			2,318	2,318							2,318
38	Fuel Inventory			43,755	43,755							43,755
39	Non Plant Assets and Liabilities			7,968	7,968							7,968
40	Customer Advances			(195)	(195)							(195)
41	Customer Deposits			(153)	(153)							(153)
42	Prepayments			2,168	2,168							2,168
43	Regulatory Amortizations											
44	Total Other Rate Base		(14,114)	55,862	41,748						4,116	45,864
45												
46	Total Average Rate Base	252	(14,114)	1,298,895	1,285,033	(3,718)	(69)	(27)	(17,434)	(37)	4,116	1,267,863

Northern States Power Company State of Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction 2024 Income Statement Bridge

2024 Income Statement Adjustments (\$000s)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
			Bridge - Un	adjusted		Precedential					Adjustment	
Line No.		ADIT Prorate for IRS	Cash Working Capital	Base	Total Unadjusted	Precedential Adjustments	Bad Debt Expense	Black Dog Pipeline	Depreciation Study	Participant Compensation	LTI-Environmental	LTI-Time Based
1				-	•	WP-A1-WP-A12	WP-A13	WP-A14	WP-A15	WP-A16	WP-A17	WP-A18
2	Operating Revenues											
3	Retail Revenue			613,782	613,782							
4	Interdepartmental			7,410	7,410							
5	Other Operating			3,086	3,086							
6	Total Revenue	-		624,278	624,278							_
7												
8	Expenses											
9	Operating Expenses											
10	Fuel & Purchased Energy			350,434	350,434							
11	Gas Production and Storage			7,927	7,927							
12	Gas Transmission			2,169	2,169							
13	Gas Distribution			39,446	39,446							
14	Customer Accounting			12,641	12,641		246					
15	Customer Service and Information			29,720	29,720							
16	Sales, Econ Dev, & Other			38	38	12						
17	Administrative and General			28,741	28,741	(1,871)				85	125	469
18	Total Operating Expenses			471,116	471,116	(1,858)	246			85	125	469
19												
20	Depreciation			73,715	73,715			(78)	156			
21	Amortization											
22												
23	Taxes											
24	Property			22,686	22,686							
25	Deferred Income Tax and ITC			6,666	6,666			(54)	(17)			
26	Federal and State Income Tax	(1)	79	(561)	(484)	535	(71)	99	0	(25	) (36)	(135)
27	Payroll and Other			3,431	3,431	(4)						
28	Total Taxes	(1)	79	32,221	32,299	531	(71)	45	(17)	(25	) (36)	(135)
29												
30	Total Expenses	(1)	79	577,052	577,130	(1,327)	175	(34)	139	61	89	334
31												
32	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction			2,677	2,677							
33												
34	Net Income	1	(79)	49,903	49,825	1,327	(175)	34	(139)	(61	) (89)	(334)
35		_										_
36	Calculation of Revenue Requirements											
37	Rate Base	252	(14,114)	1,298,895	1,285,033			(3,718)	(69)			
38	Required Operating Income	18	(984)	90,533	89,567			(259)	(5)			
39	Operating Income	1	(79)	49,903	49,825	1,327	(175)	34	(139)	(61	) (89)	(334)
40	Income Deficiency	16	(905)	40,630	39,742	(1,327)	175	(293)	134	61	89	334
41	Revenue Deficiency	23	(1,270)	57,018	55,771	(1,862)	246	(411)	188	85	125	469

Northern States Power Company State of Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction 2024 Income Statement Bridge

2024 Income Statement Adjustments (\$000s)

(1)	(2)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)
					Amortization	Rider Removals	Se	condary Calculation	ons	
Line		New Area	New Business	Property Tax	Rate Case	GUIC Rider	ADIT Prorate for	Cash Working	Change in Cost	
No.		Surcharge	CIAC	Adjustment	Expenses	Removal	IRS	Capital	of Capital	Total
1		WP-A19	WP-A20	WP-A21	WP-A22	WP-A23	WP-A24	WP-A25	WP-A26	
2	Operating Revenues					(2.22)				
3	Retail Revenue					(3,386)				610,396
4	Interdepartmental	4 4 4 4								7,410
5	Other Operating	1,144				(2.225)				4,230
6	Total Revenue	1,144				(3,386)				622,037
7	_									
8	Expenses									
9	Operating Expenses									250 424
10	Fuel & Purchased Energy									350,434
11	Gas Production and Storage					(4.546)				7,927
12	Gas Transmission					(1,546)				623
13	Gas Distribution					107				39,553
14	Customer Accounting									12,887
15	Customer Service and Information									29,720
16 17	Sales, Econ Dev, & Other  Administrative and General									50
17						(1.420)				27,550
18	Total Operating Expenses					(1,439)				468,744
19	Denveriation		(4)			(270)				72 524
20 21	Depreciation Amortization		(1)		926	(270)				73,521 926
	Amortization				920					920
22 23	Taxes									
23 24	Property			(4,049)		(4)				18,633
25	Deferred Income Tax and ITC		(0)	(4,043)		(913)				5,681
26	Federal and State Income Tax	329	1	1,164	(266)		0	(23)	(619)	1,006
27	Payroll and Other	323	1	1,104	(200)	557	O	(23)	(013)	3,427
28	Total Taxes	329	0	(2,885)	(266)	) (381)	0	(23)	(619)	28,747
29	Total Taxes	323	Ü	(2,003)	(200)	(301)	· ·	(23)	(013)	20,747
30	Total Expenses	329	(1)	(2,885)	660	(2,090)	0	(23)	(619)	571,938
31	rotal Expenses	323	(-)	(2,003)	000	(2,030)	· ·	(23)	(013)	371,330
32	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction									2,677
33	7.110.110.110.110.110.110.110.110.110.11									_,0
34	Net Income	815	1	2,885	(660)	(1,296)	(0)	23	619	52,776
35				,	()	( ) = = )	(-)			- , -
36	Calculation of Revenue Requirements									
37	Rate Base		(27)			(17,434)	(37)	4,116		1,267,863
38	Required Operating Income		(2)			(1,215)			6,466	94,836
39	Operating Income	815	1	2,885	(660)				619	52,776
40	Income Deficiency	(815)	(2)		660		(2)		5,847	42,060
41	Revenue Deficiency	(1,144)	(3)		926		(3)		8,205	59,026
	•	( ) ( )	17	V 7- 71			(-)		-,	,- ,-

Northern States Power Company State of Minnesota Gas Jurisdiction 2024 Adjustment Summary

# ADJUSTMENT SUMMARY

2024 Test Year

(\$000)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Record Category	Report Label	Record Type	MN Gas	Workpaper
Line Ivo.	Record Category	Report Laber	Record Type	2024 Test Year	Reference
1	Unadjusted	Unadjusted	Total Unadjusted	65,424	
2					
3	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Advertising (Trad)	(253)	WP-A1
4	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Assn Dues (Trad)	(31)	WP-A2
5	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Aviation	(269)	WP-A3
6	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Chamber of Commerce Dues	4	WP-A4
7	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Donations (Trad)	134	WP-A5
8	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Econ Dev Donations (Trad)	12	WP-A6
9	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Econ Develop (Trad)	(9)	WP-A7
10	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Employee Expenses	(248)	WP-A8
11	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Foundation Admin	(18)	WP-A9
12	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Incentive Pay	(153)	WP-A10
13	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Incentive Pay_Remove Long Term	(987)	WP-A11
14	Precedential	Precedential Adjustments	NSPM-Pension Non-Qualified Removal	(44)	WP-A12
15	Precedential		Sub-Total Precedential	(1,862)	
16					
17	Adjustment	Bad Debt	NSPM-Bad Debt	246	WP-A13
18	Adjustment	Black Dog Pipeline	NSPM-Black Dog Pipeline	(435)	WP-A14
19	Adjustment	Depreciation Study	NSPM-MN Gas Depreciation Study TD&G	188	WP-A15
20	Adjustment	Participant Compensation	NSPM-Participant Compensation Legislation	85	WP-A16
21	Adjustment	Incentive Compensation	NSPM-Incentive Pay_Environmental LTI	125	WP-A17
22	Adjustment	Incentive Compensation	NSPM-Incentive Pay_Time Based LTI	469	WP-A18
23	Adjustment	New Area Surcharge	NSPM-NAS and ES Exclusion	(1,144)	WP-A19
24	Adjustment	New Business CIAC	NSPM-New Bus CIAC 2023	(4)	WP-A20
25	Adjustment	Property Tax Adjustment	NSPM-Property Tax Adjustment	(4,049)	WP-A21
26	Adjustment		Sub-Total Adjustment	(4,519)	
27					
28	Amortization	Rate Case Expenses	NSPM-Amortization Rate Case Expense	926	WP-A22
29	Amortization		Sub-Total Amortization	926	
30					
31	Rider Removals	Rider: GUIC	NSPM-Gas GUIC Rider RC Removal	(0)	WP-A23
32	Rider Removals		Sub-Total Rider Removals	(0)	
33				``	
34	Secondary Calculations	ADIT Prorate for IRS	NSPM-ADIT Prorate for IRS	21	WP-A24
35	Secondary Calculations	Cash Working Capital	NSPM-Cash Working Capital	(964)	WP-A25
36	Secondary Calculations		Sub-Total Secondary Calculations	(943)	
37	,		·	` '	
38			Total Revenue Deficiency	59,026	

Note: Adjustment amounts in Schedule 12 reflect the revenue requirement calculated at the capital structure proposed in this rate case. See Workpaper A50 for the adjustment due to change in COC.

# PRECEDENTIAL ADJUSTMENT DETAIL SCHEDULE

2024 Test Year

(\$000s)

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15)

							Pre	ecedential						
Line					NSPM-		NSPM-	NSPM-				NSPM-		
No.		NSPM-			Chamber of	NSPM-	Econ Dev	Econ	NSPM-	NSPM-	NSPM-	Incentive	NSPM-Pension	Total
		0	NSPM-Assn		Commerce	Donations	Donations	Develop	Employee	Foundation	Incentive	Pay_Remove	Non-Qualified	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	(Trad)	Dues (Trad)	Aviation	Dues	(Trad)	(Trad)	(Trad)	Expenses	Admin	Pay	Long Term	Removal	
1	Operating Revenues													
2	Retail Revenue													
4	Other Operating													
5	Total Revenue													
6														
7	Expenses													
8	Operating Expenses													
9	Fuel & Purchased Energy													
10	Power Production													
11	Transmission													
12	Customer Accounting													
13	Customer Service and Information													
14	Sales, Econ Dev, & Other	(2.7.2)	(T. 1)	(=)			12	(0)	(5.40)	(4.0)	(1 T T)	(0.0 <del>-</del>		12
15	Administrative and General	(253)	(31)	(266)		134		(9)		, ,	(153)		. ,	(1,871)
16	Total Operating Expenses	(253)	(31)	(266)	) 4	134	12	(9)	(248)	(18)	(153)	(987)	(44)	(1,858)
17	D : :													
18	Depreciation Amortization													
19 20	Amoruzauon													
20	Taxes													
22	Property													
23	Deferred Income Tax and ITC													
24	Federal and State Income Tax	73	9	77	(1)	(38)	(4)	3	71	5	44	284	13	535
25	Payroll and Other			(3)		( )	( )			(0)				(4)
26	Total Taxes	73	9	74	(1)	(38)	(4)	3	71	5	44	284	13	531
27														
28	Total Expenses	(180)	(22)	(192)	3	95	9	(6)	(177)	(13)	(109)	(704)	(31)	(1,327)
29														
30	Allowance for Funds Used During Constru-													
31					4-3	,\	453							
32	Net Income	180	22	192	(3)	(95)	(9)	6	177	13	109	704	31	1,327
33														
34	Calculation of Revenue Requirements													
35	Rate Base													
36	Required Operating Income	180	22	192	(2)	(OE)	(0)	,	177	13	109	704	31	1 207
37 38	Operating Income Income Deficiency	(180)	22 (22)	(192)	\ \ /	( <mark>95)</mark> 95		6 (6)			(109)			1,327 (1,327)
38 39	Revenue Deficiency	(253)	(31)	(192)		134		<b>(9)</b>			(153)			(1,862)
39	revenue Deneterey	(200)	(31)	(20)	7	137	12	(3)	(270)	(10)	(100)	(707)	(++)	(1,002)